



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 19335/2025

1. Manoj Borana S/o Suresh Kumar, Aged About 27 Years, House No. 103, Opposite To Tehsil Office, Sanjay Nagar, Sumerpur District Pali, Rajasthan
2. Uttam Singh Patel S/o Narendra Kumar Singh, Aged About 25 Years, 1241/2C, Shyam Chaupra, Shivaji Nagar, Dadiyapura, Jhansi, District Jhansi, U.p
3. Nidhi Bansal Spouse/o Kartik Bansal, Aged About 34 Years, House No. 107, Sector No. 3, Behind Government Hospital Hanumangarh Town, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.
4. Simaran Soni D/o Sanjay Kumar Soni, Aged About 28 Years, Sunaro Ka Bass, Nyati Nohara, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan. Presently Residing At House No. 13, Rajat Residency Opposite Shivgarh Resort Near Dali Bai Circle, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
5. Yash Mehta S/o Sunil Kumar Mehta, Aged About 26 Years, Near Office Of Irrigation Department, Shahpura, Bhilwara, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Law Department, Rajasthan Sachivalaya, Ashok Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan- 302005.
2. The Honble Rajasthan High Court, Through Its Registrar General, Rajasthan High Court, Jodhpur (Rajasthan)- 342013.
3. Registrar (Examination), Rajasthan High Court, Jodhpur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Chandan Singh Jodha
Mr. Prashant Trivedi
Mr. Tushar Panwar

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Pankaj Sharma assisted by
Mr. Dhairyaditya Rathore



HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI

Order

Reserved on 06.10.2025

Pronounced on 09/10/2025

Per Anuroop Singhi, J.

1. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioners praying for the following relief :-

"1. That the impugned notice dated 12.09.2025 (Annexure-1) shall be quashed and set aside, or the same be modified by providing alternative dates for the Main Examination for the recruitment to the Cadre of Civil Judge, Rajasthan, which are not in conflict with the already scheduled mains examination of the Gujarat Judicial Services;"

2. A bare perusal of the relief sought reveals that the petitioners have sought rescheduling of the Main Examination for the recruitment to the Cadre of Civil Judge, Rajasthan to be held at Jodhpur and Jaipur on 11.10.2025 and 12.10.2025 on the ground that the petitioners are also appearing in the similar examination being conducted by the Hon'ble High Court of Gujarat which is also scheduled on the same dates. On the said basis, it has been contended that scheduling the exams by the respondents on the same dates as that of Gujarat Judicial Services Main Examination, denies an equal opportunity to the petitioners and other candidates who are similarly placed with the petitioners and is violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India. It has been further submitted that no prejudice would be caused if





the dates are rescheduled and on the contrary, it will adversely affect and jeopardise the carrier of the petitioners.

3. Counsel for the petitioners has placed reliance on the judgment dated 09/05/2022 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Nisha Kumari & Ors v. Haryana Public Service Commission & Anr. ; Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 310/2022.***

4. E-converso, on behalf of the respondents, it was submitted that the recruitment process to the Cadre of Civil Judge, Rajasthan was initiated vide advertisement dated 27.02.2025 and the preliminary examination was conducted on 27.07.2025, result of which was declared on 19.08.2025. Thereafter vide notice dated 12.09.2025 the schedule for the main examination was declared which are to be conducted on 11.10.2025 and 12.10.2025.

5. Counsel for respondents further submits that the respondents have undertaken the entire exercise for conducting the examination and the petitioners have no vested right so as to claim rescheduling of the examination and any deviation in the schedule will have cascading effect not only on the holding of examination but also on the candidates who are appearing in the said examination.

6. Counsel for the respondents placed reliance upon the order dated 19.07.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Amit Kumar Kohli v. The Hon'ble High Court of Rajasthan & Ors. ; Writ Petition(s) (Civill) No(s). 521/2022*** wherein, considering a similar prayer the Writ Petitions were dismissed.





7. We have heard learned counsels for the parties and considered and scanned the documents, records and the judgments cited by the parties.

8. It is an undisputed fact that holding of examination and that too mains examination for recruitment to the post of Civil Judge, Rajasthan 2025 involves varied aspects and considerations including availability of centres, preparation of materials etc., and thus rescheduling the same carries cascading effects not only on holding of examination but even upon the candidates who are wholeheartedly looking forward for appearing in the said examination.

9. Hon'ble Supreme Court in Amit Kumar Kohli (supra) while considering similar situation held that filling up of judicial vacancies is of prime importance and urgency and the petitioners appearing in different exams will have to take a choice whether they want to appear as otherwise it causes grave prejudice to the examination process as a whole.

10. In the present case, merely on the ground that the petitioners or few other similarly placed candidates have difficulty in appearing in mains examination for the post of Cadre of Civil Judge, Rajasthan, 2025 on the ground that the examination for the very same post are being conducted by the Hon'ble High Court of Gujarat on the very same day cannot be a ground so much so to postpone/reschedule the entire examination as that will not only derail the ongoing examination process but will certainly cause prejudice to other candidates. Further the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Nisha Kumari (supra) will not render any assistance to the petitioners as in that case there were





repeated postponements of examination dates and thus the facts of the said case are clearly distinguishable.

11. In view of the above, we do not find any merit in the contentions raised by the petitioners and accordingly the present writ petition is dismissed.

12. Stay petition and all pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J

(DR. PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI),J

SP/-

