



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 928/2023

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Medical (Group-Iv) Ayurvedic Department, Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Directorate Of Ayurvedic Department, Rajasthan, Ajmer.
3. The Deputy Director, Ayurvedic Department, Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh

----Appellants

Versus

Pawan Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Om Prakash Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Ward No. 2, P.o. Channi Bari, 6 Chn, Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh (Raj.)

----Respondent

Connected With

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 896/2023

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Medical (Group-Iv) Ayurvedic Department, Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Directorate Of Ayurvedic Department, Rajasthan, Ajmer.
3. The Deputy Director, Ayurvedic Department, Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

----Appellants

Versus

Manohar Lal Sharma S/o Shri Om Prakash Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Sector No. 5, Bhawan No. 2, Near Lic Office, Nohar, District Hanumangarh (Raj.)

----Respondent

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 911/2023

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Ayurved And India Medicines Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. The Director, Ayurved Department Ashok Marg, Lohagal





Road, Savitri College, Ajmer.

4. Deputy Director, Ayurved Department, Sirohi.
5. Deputy Director, Ayurved Department, Jodhpur.

----Appellants

Versus

1. Bijendra Kumar Tyagi S/o Shri Rohatas Singh Tyagi, Aged About 55 Years, Shiv Shakti Colony, Kojra, Tehsil Pindwara, District Sirohi. Presently Posted As Senior Ayurved Chikitshak At Govt. Ayurvedic Hospital, Kojra, District Sirohi (Raj.).
2. Arun Kumar Yadav S/o Hetram Yadav, Aged About 57 Years, Near Government Secondary School, Abu Road, Sirohi, Presently Posted As Senior Ayurved Chikitshak At Govt. Ayurvedic Hospital Bhaisa Singh, Abu Road, Sirohi (Raj.).
3. Ram Avtar Sharma S/o Sitaram Sharma, Aged About 59 Years, 82/81, Sector-8, Chetak Marg, Pratap Nagar, Sanganer, Jaipur (Raj.), Presently Posted As Senior Ayurved Chikitshak At Govt. District Ayurvedic Hospital, Sirohi (Raj.).
4. Dinesh Kumar Rai S/o Vindhyavasini Rai, Aged About 56 Years, R/o 30/21, Ekta Nagar, Banar Road, Jodhpur, Presently Posted On Deputation As Senior Ayurved Chikitshak At Dr. Sr Rajasthan Ayurved University, Jodhpur (Raj.).
5. Vijay Pal Tyagi S/o Dharampal Tyagi, Aged About 55 Years, R/o 120-A, Mansarovar, Opposite Delhi Public School, Pal Bypass Road, Jodhpur, Presently Posted On Deputation As Senior Ayurved Chikitshak At Dr. Sr Rajasthan Ayurved University, Jodhpur (Raj.).

----Respondents

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 931/2023

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Ayurved And Indian Medicines, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. The Director, Ayurved Department, Ashok Marg, Lohagal





Road, Savitri College Circle, Ajmer.

4. Additional Director, Ayurved Department, Jodhpur Zone, Jodhpur.
5. Deputy Director, Ayurved Department, Jalore.

----Appellants

Versus

Rampratap Rai S/o Late Shri Ishwar Chandra Rai, Aged About 60 Years, Resident Of Shano Nursing Home, Sanchore, District Jalore, Presently Posted At Govt. Ayurved Hospital, Tanpi, Tehsil Sanchore, District Jalore (Raj.).

----Respondent

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 932/2023

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Medical (Group-Iv), Ayurvedic Department, Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Directorate Of Ayurvedic Department, Rajasthan, Ajmer.
3. The Deputy Director, Ayurvedic Department, Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

----Appellants

Versus

Dr. Om Prakash Khati S/o Jalu Ram Khati, Aged About 54 Years, Ward No.3, Airtel Mobile Tower Village Malsisar, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh (Raj.)

----Respondent

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 975/2023

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Medical (Group - Iv) Ayurvedic Department, Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Directorate Of Ayurvedic Department, Rajasthan, Ajmer.
3. The Deputy Director, Ayurvedic Department, Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

----Appellants

Versus

Dr. Surendra Singh Kalera S/o Shri Punam Chand Kalera, Aged





About 56 Years, R/o Ward No. 17, Near Hanuman Mandir,
Bhadra, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).

----Respondent

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 976/2023

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Ayurved And Indian Medicines, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Ayurved Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Ajmer.
3. The Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur

----Appellants

Versus

1. Uma Chaudhary W/o Yatindra Chaudhary, Aged About 59 Years, R/o House No. 377, Shastri Marg, Rati Talai, Banswara, Rajasthan.
2. Rahat Qureshi S/o Kamruddin Qureshi W/o Jamil Ahmed, Aged About 58 Years, R/o Near Old Masjid, Tehsial Garhi, District Banswara, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 977/2023

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Medical (Group - Iv) Ayurvedic Department, Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Directorate Of Ayurved Department, Rajasthan, Ajmer.
3. The Deputy Director, Ayurvedic Department, Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh
4. The Deputy Director, Ayurvedic Department, Alwar, District Alwar.

----Appellants

Versus

1. Roshan Lal S/o Gugan Ram, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Near Meghwal Panchayat Bhawan, Ward No. 30, Rajgarh, Sadulpur, District Churu (Raj.)
2. Sompal Singh S/o Haripal Singh, Aged About 56 Years, 1 Kh, 16 Shivaji Park, Alwar, District Alwar (Raj.)





----Respondents

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 978/2023

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Medical (Group-Iv) Ayurvedic Department, Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Directorate Of Ayurvedic Department, Rajasthan, Ajmer.
3. The Deputy Director, Ayurvedic Department, Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

----Appellants

Versus

Dr. Santosh Kumar Atri S/o Suraj Mal Atri, Aged About 59 Years, R/o Surya Mani Bhawan, Behind Old Lic Office, Ward No. 12, Nohar, District Hanumangarh (Raj.)

----Respondent

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 994/2023

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Medical (Group-Iv) Ayurvedic Department, Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Directorate Of Ayurvedic Department, Rajasthan, Ajmer.
3. The Deputy Director, Ayurvedic Department, Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

----Appellants

Versus

Hanuman Prasad Swami S/o Shri Gopal Ram, Aged About 58 Years, R/o Sardar Gadiya, 7 Msr, Gogameri, Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).

----Respondent

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 995/2023

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Medical (Group-Iv) Ayurvedic Department, Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Directorate Of Ayurvedic Department, Rajasthan, Ajmer.
3. The Deputy Director, Ayurvedic Department, Churu, District Churu.





----Appellants

Versus

Vinod Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Kishan Ram Sharma, Aged About 52 Years, R/o Ward No. 15, Parihara, Churu, District Churu (Raj.).

----Respondent

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 1006/2023

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Medical (Group - Iv) Ayurvedic Department, Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Directorate Of Ayurvedic Department, Rajasthan, Ajmer.
3. The Deputy Director, Ayurvedic Department, Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh

----Appellants

Versus

Dr. Nihal Chand Sharma S/o Phusa Ram Sharma, Aged About 58 Years, House No.181, Near Dhani Fursani, Sadelpur, Hisar, Haryana.

----Respondent

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 1088/2023

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Ayurved And Indian Medicines, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Ayurved Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Ajmer.
3. The Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

----Appellants

Versus

1. Dr. Om Prakash S/o Shri Narayan Singh, Aged About 60 Years, Jaswantpura, Tehsil Shri Madhopur, Kanchanpura, Sikar, Rajasthan.
2. Hawa Singh Kumhar S/o Shri Lal Chand Kumhar, Aged About 60 Years, 258, Seowa, Rajgarh, Churu, Rajasthan.

----Respondents





D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 115/2024

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Medical (Group-Iv), Ayurvedic Department, Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Directorate Of Ayurvedic Department, Rajasthan, Ajmer.
3. The Deputy Director, Ayurvedic Department, Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

----Appellants

Versus

Dr. Dalip Singh Sihag S/o Hira Lal, Aged About 58 Years, Sector No.5, Plot No.413, Bhiyani Stadium, Nohar, District Hanumangarh (Raj.)

----Respondent

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 161/2024

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Ayurved And Indian Medicines, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. The Director, Ayurved Department, Ashok Marg, Lohagal Road, Savitri College Circle, Ajmer.
4. Deputy Director, Ayurved Department, Jodhpur.
5. Joint Director, Pension And Pensioners Welfare Department, Jodhpur Zone, Jodhpur.

----Appellants

Versus

Pramod Kumar Nayak S/o Late Shri Shriramji Nayak, Aged About 62 Years, Resident Of House No. 2/381, Kudi Bhagtasani Housing Board, Jodhpur, (Raj.).

----Respondent

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 356/2024

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Ayurved And Indian Medicines, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Ayurved Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Ajmer.





3. The Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur

----Appellants

Versus

1. Sitaram Sharma S/o Shri Kailash Prasad Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Government Ayurveda Hospital, Guda Andla, Pali, Rajasthan.
2. Dr. Narendra Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Uma Shankar Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o 187, Ashapura Township, Jodhpur Road Near St. Poul School School, Pali, Rajasthan.
3. Dr. Durjan Singh S/o Shri Bhoriya Singh, Aged About 53 Years, R/o 180-181, Ganesh Vihar Sirsi Mod, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. Dr. Jairaj Singh S/o Shri Mohan Singh, Aged About 56 Years, R/o 219, Rajat Vihar Colony, Bangad College Road, District Pali, Rajasthan.
5. Dr. Ashok Kumar Gupta S/o Shri Ramgopal Gupta, Aged About 54 Years, R/o 34, Kamal Vihar, Mangiyawas Road, Mansarowar, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
6. Dr. Gopal Gupta S/o Shri Narayan Lal Gupta, Aged About 57 Years, R/o 18-G, Prem Nagar, Kanwar Bag, Sanganer, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
7. Dr. Vijendra Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Hariprasad Sharma, Aged About 52 Years, R/o Azad Bastri, Bali, District Pali, Rajasthan.
8. Dr. Narendra Kumar Gupta S/o Shri Kedarnath Gupta, Aged About 53 Years, R/o 83, Shiv Shakti Nagar Kings Road, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
9. Dr. Rajendra Prasad Sharma S/o Shri Ramjilal, Aged About 56 Years, R/o 139, Santosh Sagar, Brahmpuri Road, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
10. Gopal Lal Sharma S/o Shri Badri Prasad Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o 10, Chimnabadi, Pipar City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
11. Satya Prakash Sharma S/o Shri Shivcharan Lal, Aged About 58 Years, R/o 325, Taliya Devtu, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.





12. Chandrakant Sharma S/o Shri Sua Lal, Aged About 59 Years, R/o Sanik Nagar, Gangapur, Sawai Madhopur, Gangapur, Rajasthan.
13. Keshav Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Shyamsunder Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o 5, Anand Vihar Vistar, Jhotwara, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
14. Dr. Subhash Chand Shrivastava S/o Shri Jai Prakash Shrivastava, Aged About 54 Years, R/o 380/3 Ayodhya Kunj Colony, Sepau Road, District Dholpur, Rajasthan
15. Dr. Jaiprakash Goyal S/o Shri Ramesh Chandra Goyal, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Ii-B-27, Murlidhar Vyas Nagar, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.
16. Dr. Shiv Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Chaitanya Dev Sharma, Aged About 57 Years, R/o B-3-236, Vijay Vrund Sudarshan Nagar, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.
17. Dr. Ram Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Om Prakash Sharma, Aged About 57 Years, R/o D-16 Murlidhar Vyas Nagar, Samudayik Bhawan Ke Pas, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.
18. Dr. Jyoti Chawla W/o Shri Govind Kumar Ojha, D/o Shri Gechandra Chawla, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Jain Mandir Ke Pas, Purana Telephone Exchange, Taranagar, District Churu, Rajasthan.
19. Dr. Umesh Parashar S/o Shri Ram Singh, Aged About 58 Years, R/o 105, Ka Vaki Wali Gali, New Colony, Kayasth Pada, Bari, Dholpur, Rajasthan.
20. Dr. Dev Krishn Saraswat S/o Shri Kashiram Saraswat, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Bherudan Bangalo Ke Pas, Rani Bajar, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.
21. Murari Lal Sharma S/o Shri Chauthmal Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Kishanpura, Toda Thakela, Lal Sot, Dausa, Rajasthan.
22. Jamuna Prasad Sharma S/o Shri Ganga Sahay Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, R/o F-82-A, Saini Colony, Ram Nagar Vistar Sodala, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
23. Dr. Piyush Joshi S/o Shri Vasudev Joshi, Aged About 53 Years, R/o B.v.b. School, Vadiya Colony, District Banswara, Rajasthan.
24. Dr. Kalpana Agarwal D/o Shri Pushkarlal Agarwal, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Anandpuri, District Banswara,





Rajasthan.

25. Dr. Ramesh Chandra Patidar S/o Shri Gokul Patidar, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Surwaniya, District Banswara, Rajasthan.
26. Dr. Madhu Sudan Sharma S/o Shri Shyamlal Sharma, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Kushalgarh, District Banswara, Rajasthan.
27. Dr. Bharat Kumar Jain S/o Shri Mithalal Jain, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Jain Mohalla, Badodiya, District Banswara, Rajasthan.
28. Ramesh Chandra Bhatt S/o Shri Jagannath Bhatt, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Village Post Khadagda, Tehsil Sagwara, District Dungarpur, Rajasthan.
29. Babulal Sharma S/o Shri Rewadmals Sharma, Aged About 59 Years, R/o Village Parma Ki Jhopdi, Post Kakrala, Tehsil Bamanwas, District Sawaimadhopur, Rajasthan.
30. Dr. Pradeep Kumar S/o Shri Bantaram, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Gyan Jyoti School Ke Pas, Shastri Colony, Ward No.2, Raisinghnagar, District Ganganagar, Rajasthan.
31. Dr. Vinod Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Badri Prasad Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, R/o 1-2, Rajput Colony, Shri Ganga Nagar, Rajasthan.
32. Rakesh Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Moolchand Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Village Post Kasba Shehar, Tehsil Nadoti, District Karauli, Rajasthan.
33. Dr. Anupama Gupta W/o Shri Niraj Gupta, Aged About 56 Years, R/o V/4/29, Vrindvan Nagar, District Udaipur, Rajasthan.
34. Dr. Manoj Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Jagdish Prasad Sharma, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Tehla, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.
35. Dr. Gopi Kishan Sharma S/o Shri Badri Prasad Sharma, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Seetla Mata Mandir Ke Pas, Manasar, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.
36. Dr. Raghu Nandan Bochiwal S/o Shri Kundan Mal Sharma, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Gali No.4, Near Laxmi Mandir, Jaswant Garh, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.
37. Dr. Kamal Kant Sharma S/o Shri Ram Gopal Sharma,





Aged About 56 Years, R/o E-11, Hanuman Nagar, Pratapgarh, District Pratapgarh, Rajasthan.

38. Dr. Bhagwan Singh Sisodiya S/o Shri Chandan Singh Sisodiya, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Mandsour Road, Nanesh Nagar, District Pratapgarh, Rajasthan.
39. Dr. Vinod Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Ramkishor Sharma, Aged About 52 Years, R/o Thukrai, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.
40. Dr. Dayal Babu Swami S/o Shri Birju Das Swami, Aged About 56 Years, R/o 112, Adarsh Colony, Nimbahera, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.
41. Dr. Gopal Lal Joshi S/o Shri Jagdish Chandra, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Satya Narain Mandir Ke Pas, Agoocha, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.
42. Dr. Bhawani Shankar Joshi S/o Shri Bal Krishan Joshi, Aged About 54 Years, R/o 9, Dadhimati Mandir Ke Pass, Azad Nagar-A, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.
43. Pawan Kumar Dadhich S/o Shri Ramawatar Shastri, Aged About 55 Years, R/o 15, Govindvadi, Brahmpuri Stand, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.
44. Surendra Mohan Jaimini S/o Shri Ghanshyam Lal Jaimini, Aged About 58 Years, R/o Village Post Gangala, District Barmer, Rajasthan.
45. Mauji Ram Sharma S/o Shri Hari Prasad Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Ward No.9, Village Karmavas, District Barmer, Rajasthan.
46. Subhash Chand Jindal S/o Shri Jwala Prasad, Aged About 56 Years, R/o A-16, Shiv Marg Mittal Colony, Dhaulpur, District Dhaulpur, Rajasthan.
47. Ajay Singh S/o Shri Nasib Singh, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Hadiyal Railway Station Ratanpura, Tehsil Rajgarh, District Churu, Rajasthan.
48. Lalit Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Vimal Chand Sharma, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Ward No.34, Chhipa Magar, Sardarshehar, District Churu, Rajasthan.
49. Ashok Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Chandanmal Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Ward No.10, Near School No.5, Jhalariya Kua, Sardarshahar, District Churu, Rajasthan.





50. Tarkeshwar Prasad Gupta S/o Shri Suresh Chand Gupta, Aged About 55 Years, R/o 98, Bhil Basti, Banediya, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
51. Narendra Kumar Acharya S/o Shri Rameshwar Lal Acharya, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Charbhujia Nath Ki Gali, Pipli Acharyan, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
52. Meenakshi Gupta W/o Shri Tarkeshwar Prasaad Gupta, Aged About 55 Years, R/o 98, Bhil Basti, Banediya, District Rajsamand, Rajasthan.
53. Ram Gopal Trivedi S/o Shri Ganesh Shankar Trivedi, Aged About 59 Years, R/o Ward No.2, Shastri Nagar, Near Bus Stand, Gangapur, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.
54. Gurudutt Sharma S/o Shri Devki Nandan Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, R/o A-40 Laxmi Nayaran Puri, Surajpol, Ramganj, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
55. Anand Bihari Sharma S/o Shri Ram Kalyan Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Village Post Aandhi, Jamwaramgarh, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.
56. Sanwar Mal Sharma S/o Shri Chhitar Mal Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Chobe Mohalla, Gopal Mandir Ke Pas, Kaladera, Chomu, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
57. Vishwa Nath Sharma S/o Shri Hari Krishn Sharma, Aged About 59 Years, R/o 69, Bal Vihar Colony, Kalwar Road, Joshi Marg, Jhotwara, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
58. Ram Kishor Sharma S/o Shri Hari Shankar Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Plot No.21, Dushad Nagar, 1St Power House Ke Picche, Sanganer, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
59. Brij Kishore Sharma S/o Shri Hari Shankar Sharma, Aged About 53 Years, R/o 109, Sitaram Colony, Bank Of Baroda Ke Picche, Tonk Road, Sanganer, Jaipur.
60. Shrawan Kumar Dadhich S/o Shri Ramvatar Shastri, Aged About 58 Years, R/o 15-A, Govind Badi, Brahmpuri, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
61. Narendra Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Prahalad Raj Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, R/o E-18, Bank Colony, Kedia Palace Choraha, Murlipura Scheme, Vishwakarma Industrial Area, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
62. Pashupati Nath Sharma S/o Shri Jagdish Narayan Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Aaditya Nagar, Morija





Mod, Chomu, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.

63. Chandra Has Sharma S/o Shri Prabhu Dayal, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Chuleto Ka Mohalla Nayan, Amarsar Shahpura, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
64. Rajendra Prasad Agarwal S/o Shri Shyam Lal Gupta, Aged About 55 Years, R/o 545, Bhrahmpuri Bank Of Baroda Ke Pass, Khilchipur, Sawai Madhopur, Rajasthan.
65. Braj Raj Sharma S/o Shri Vitthal Das, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Sarvottam Aushadhalaya, Bajariya Sawaimadhampur, Rajasthan.
66. Gajendra Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Rameshwar Prasad Dwivedi, Aged About 55 Years, R/o 2/244 Housing Board, Alanpur, Sawai Madhopur, Rajasthan.
67. Anand Bihari S/o Shri Lalit Kumar, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Vivekanand School Ke Pas, Gangapur City, Rajasthan.
68. Naresh Kumar Joshi S/o Shri Ram Kishor, Aged About 54 Years, R/o 13-67, Near Shriram Nursery, Sundar Nagar, Golyawas, Mansarovar, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
69. Govind Prasad Sharma S/o Shri Narsingh Lal Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Purani Anaj Mandi Ke Pass, City, Sawaimadhampur Town, Rajasthan.
70. Chhagan Singh S/o Shri Gopal Singh, Aged About 55 Years, R/o 45 Durga Vihar-A, Hajyawala, Sanganer, Sanganer Bajar, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
71. Abdul Muqtadir Khan S/o Shri Abdul Qadir, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Choti Masjid Ke Pass, Tehsil Wazirpur, Sawai Madhopur, Rajasthan.
72. Surendra Kumar S/o Shri Kalyan Mal Garg, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Plot No.12, New Master Colony, Hotel Chandrawati Ke Samne, District Jhalawar, Rajasthan.
73. Jainendra Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Mithlesh Kumar Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Bundi Road, Kunhadi Kota 42, Kamla Udhyan Extention Girdharpura Thermal Colony, District Kota, Rajasthan.
74. Kailash Chand Sharma S/o Shri Onkarlal Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o New Poonam Colony, Bhawanimandi, District Jhalawar, Rajasthan.
75. Jugal Kishor Sharma S/o Shri Hajari Lal Sharma, Aged





About 56 Years, R/o 1-G-4 Mahaveer Nagar Vistar Yojana, District Kota, Rajasthan.

76. Shatrughan Sharma S/o Shri Ramswaroop Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Arogya Nagar, Kota, Vigyan Nagar, District Kota, Rajasthan.
77. Naval Kishore Nagar S/o Shri Devi Lal Nagar, Aged About 54 Years, R/o 1132, Subhash Nagar-Ii, Pandit, Deendayal Nagar, Ward No.9, Anandpura, Phoota Talab, District Kota, Rajasthan.
78. Umesh Chandra Sharma S/o Shri Janki Prasad Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, R/o 61/d, Near 61/154 Pratap Nagar Sector-6, Sanganer, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.
79. Chandra Shekhar Jain S/o Shri Beni Prasad, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Prem Nagar-I, 168, Gurjar Ki Thadi, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.
80. Madhusudan Sharma S/o Shri Durga Prasad Sharma, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Ward No.4, Idea Tower Ke Samne, Railway Bugda Ke Pass, Neem Ka Thana, District Sikar, Rajasthan.
81. Surendra Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Jamana Lal Shastri, Aged About 56 Years, R/o D-4, Choudhari Colony, Ganesh Nagar, Vki, Road No.-14, Sikar Road, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
82. Damodar Prasad Sharma S/o Shri Ramgopal, Aged About 58 Years, R/o Ward No.10, Vishvkarma Mandir Ke Pass, Danta, District Sikar, Rajasthan.
83. Devendra Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Madan Lal Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Khilchipur, Sherpur, Sawai Madhopur, Rajasthan.
84. Hari Shankar Malav S/o Shri Latoor Lal Malav, Aged About 54 Years, R/o A-7, Silor Road, Madhuvan Colony, District Bundi, Rajasthan.
85. Jay Prasad Sharma S/o Shri Jawala Prasad Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o In Front Of Choudhary Petrol Pump, 17, Nand Colony, Tonk Road, Sanganer, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.
86. Chandra Mohan Gupta S/o Late Shri Kirodi Lal Gupta, Aged About 59 Years, R/o 333-B, Bajrang Nagar, Police Line, District Kota, Rajasthan.
87. Gopal Sahay Sharma S/o Shri Ramcharan Sharma, Aged





About 54 Years, R/o Village Mahu, Ibrahimpur,, Tehsil Hindaun, District Karauli, Rajasthan.

88. Murli Manohar Surothiya S/o Shri Hari Narayan, Aged About 55 Years, R/o C-30, Tirupati Nagar Near Magistrate Quarter, Hindaun City, District Karauli, Rajasthan.
89. Dinesh Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Jagdish Prasad Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Jahannagar Morda, Tehsil Todhabheem, District Karauli, Rajasthan.
90. Dinesh Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Chiranji Lal Sharma, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Chuli Gate, Middle School No.2 Ke Pass, Ward No.14, Gangapur, Sawai Madhopur, Rajasthan.
91. Hemendra Kumar Kalla S/o Shri Prabhu Dayal Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Shahar, Tehsil Nadauti, District Karauli, Rajasthan.
92. Rati Kant Sharma S/o Shri Ram Kishor Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Vidhut Nagar, Hathi Ghata, District Karauli, Rajasthan.
93. Shyam Bihari Sharma S/o Shri Ramhari Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Ward No.12, Kheda Dewat Ke Pas, Todabhim, District Karauli, Rajasthan.
94. Raman Swaroop Sharma S/o Shri Ramswaroop Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Shukla Colony, S.p. Office Ke Pass, District Karauli, Rajasthan.
95. Pushpendra Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Deen Dayal, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Krishna Colony, Hindaun, District Karauli, Rajasthan.
96. Ashok Kumar Pathak S/o Shri Bhagwan Sahay, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Pathak Pada, Nyotha, Bharatpur, Nadbai, Rajasthan.
97. Dinesh Chand Sharma S/o Shri Dhujji Ram Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Badha Utarda, Tehsil Nadbai, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
98. Rajendra Singh S/o Shri Dungar Singh, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Plot No.131, Bhagwti Nagar, Girish Vihar Colony, Kali Bagichi, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
99. Rajkumar Sharma S/o Shri Hari Dutt Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, R/o 1414, Sunar Gali, Mango Hotal Ke Peeche, Indra Nagar, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.





100. Mahesh Chand Sharma S/o Shri Radhe Lal Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Near S.p.m. Nagar, 219, Sector-03, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
101. Rajendra Prasad Sharma S/o Shri Ramswaroop Sharma, Aged About 58 Years, R/o Bichpuri Patti, Weir, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
102. Yogendra Kumar Avasthi S/o Shri Ramswaroop Avasthi, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Maniram Choudhary Ka Makan, Suraj Bag Colony, Kama, Kamanlsg, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
103. Naresh Gopal S/o Shri Mohal Gopal, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Jurhara, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
104. Govind Singh S/o Shri Teja Ram, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Kareeli, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
105. Govind Singh Lodha S/o Shri Ramji Lal Lodha, Aged About 58 Years, R/o 23, Chandavri Nagta Road, Ward No.39, New Pushp Vatika Colony, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
106. Babu Lal Sharma S/o Shri Hariram Sharma, Aged About 57 Years, R/o 22, Cbi Colony, Jagatpura, Malviya Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
107. Suresh Chand Sharma S/o Shri Daroli Ram Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Gangroli, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
108. Tulsi Ram Sharma S/o Shri Jagdish Prasad Sharma, Aged About 58 Years, R/o Siras, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
109. Prem Singh S/o Shri Lala Ram, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Nale Ke Pass, Indra Nagar, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
110. Brijesh Chand Sharma S/o Shri Chhatrapal, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Anujay Nagar, Kiran Nursing Home Ke Peeche, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
111. Mahendra Singh S/o Shri Ram Singh, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Ward No.11, Near Hospital Nandbai, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
112. Dhanesh Chand Sharma S/o Shri Jhamman Lal Sharma, Aged About 52 Years, R/o 75, Tehsil Kumher Via Sewar Pahua, Ajau, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
113. Hukam Singh S/o Shri Khillo Ram, Aged About 56 Years,





R/o 314, Daudpur, New Adarsh Colony, District Alwar, Rajasthan.

114. Rajesh Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Chandgi Ram Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Ward No.20, Behror, District Alwar, Rajasthan.
115. Ramavatar Yadav S/o Shri Amar Singh Yadav, Aged About 59 Years, R/o Karan Singh Ka Bag, Behror, District Alwar, Rajasthan.
116. Ashok Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Raman Lal Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, R/o A-116 Karmchary Colony, Ashok Vihar, District Alwar, Rajasthan.
117. Jagdish Singh Chauhan S/o Shri Charar Singh Chauhan, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Opposite Jai Palton Hardev Vihar, District Alwar, Rajasthan.
118. Shobha Ram Jat S/o Shri Ram Dayal Jat, Aged About 59 Years, R/o 138, Jat Mohalla, Barkheda, District Alwar, Rajasthan.
119. Shiv Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Munshi Lal Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Upadhyay Bhawan, Near Jagdish Temple, Mala Khera, District Alwar, Rajasthan.
120. Navneet Kumar Jain S/o Shri Vimal Chand Jain, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Village Harsana, District Alwar, P.o. Harsana, Rajasthan.
121. Kamlesh Kumar Agarwal S/o Shri Brij Lal Agarwal, Aged About 55 Years, R/o H.n.108, Shalimar Ext. Nagla, Samawadi, District Alwar, Rajasthan.
122. Purushottam Narayan Sharma S/o Shri Damodar Prasad Sharma, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Manyaka Bass, Roneeja Than, District Alwar, Rajasthan.
123. Bhag Chand Sharma S/o Shri Hari Prasad Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Khardraya, Bhaiseena, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
124. Jagdish Prasad Sharma S/o Shri Radhe Shyam Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o D-95, Hasan Khan Mewat Nagar, District Alwar, Rajasthan.
125. Bijendra Sharma S/o Shri Raj Bihari Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, R/o 38, Shanti Vihar, Tonk Road, Sanganer, Airport Sanganer, Jaipur, Rajasthan.





126. Rajendra Prasad Soni S/o Shri Parmeswar Das, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Ward No.9, No.1 School Ke Pas, Tijara, District Alwar, Rajasthan.
127. Ram Charan Sharma S/o Shri Nanag Ram Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Ward No.25, Mahendra Nagar, Baswa Road, Bandkui Jageer, District Dausa, Rajasthan.
128. Mahendra Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Ishwari Prasad Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Rani Sati Nagar, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.
129. Rajpal Dangi S/o Shri Lalluram Dangi, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Village Haldeena, District Alwar, Rajasthan.
130. Satya Narayan Sharma S/o Shri Ramesh Chandra Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Rajput Basti Kot, District Dausa, Rajasthan.
131. Dinesh Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Ghanshyam Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Nagao Ki Chawani, Khandelwai Dharmshala Ke Picche, Gangapur, Swai Madhopur, Rajasthan.
132. Govind Kumar Sigal S/o Shri Jagdish Prasad, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Bhartiya Colony Ward No.5, Hinduan, District Karauli, Rajasthan.
133. Vinod Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Radhe Shyam Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Vivekanad Colony Ke Picche, Aravali Vihar Colony, District Dausa, Rajasthan.
134. Shiv Charan Trivedi S/o Shri Jagdish Prasad Trivedi, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Rastriya Bal Mandir Ke Pas, Shikshak Colony, Gupteshwar Road, District Dausa, Rajasthan.
135. Jitendra Singh Hada S/o Shri Rajendra Singh Hada, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Nagar Palika Colony, District Baran, Rajasthan.
136. Chandra Bhan Shrivastava S/o Shri Ramnarayan, Aged About 54 Years, R/o 23, Shri Shyam Hill View Colony, Chhabra, District Baran, Rajasthan.
137. Devkaran S/o Shri Mulchand, Aged About 56 Years, R/o 724, Mali Mohalla Shitala Chouk, Kawai, Salpura, District Baran, Rajasthan.
138. Sanjeev Kumar Purohit S/o Shri Brijmohan Purohit, Aged About 58 Years, R/o 81, Shri Ram Nagar, Antah, District Baran, Rajasthan.





139. Gopi Nath S/o Shri Hari Bhagvan, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Ward No.18, Shah Mohalla, Tehsil Udaipurwati, Chhapoli, Jhunjhunn, Chhapoli, Rajasthan.
140. Kamal Nayan Sharma S/o Shri Gopal Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Pithalpur, District Sikar, Rajasthan.
141. Dinesh Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Hanuman Prasad Sharma, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Ward No.9, Shyam Mandir Road, Pilani, Jhunjhunu, Pilani, Rajasthan.
142. Suresh Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Umesh Chand Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Village And Tehsil Sultana, District Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.
143. Laxmi Narayan Sharma S/o Shri Liladhar, Aged About 56 Years, R/o 262/273, Leela Niwas, Pratap Nagar, Sector 26 Sanganer, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.
144. Subhash Chand Sharma S/o Shri Rajendra Prasad Sharma, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Adarsh Nagar, Malpura, District Tonk, Rajasthan.
145. Ramakant Mishra S/o Shri Daudayal Mishra, Aged About 54 Years, R/o 2/289 Housing Board Colony, Dhaulpur, District Dholpur, Rajasthan.
146. Mahesh Chandra Bhardwaj S/o Shri Gajadhar Lal Bhardwaj, Aged About 57 Years, R/o 1, Patwar Ghar Ke Pas, Master Colony, Bari, District Dholpur, Rajasthan.
147. Girdhar Gopal S/o Shri Durga Prasad, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Girdhar Palace, Pareek Bhawan Ke Pas, Ladnun, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.
148. Hari Singh Dhayal S/o Shri Nand Ram, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Village Jhadsar, Kandhian, Tehsil Tara Nagar, District Churu, Rajasthan.
149. Jagdish Prasad Koli S/o Shri Kanhaiya Lal Koli, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Village 7 Post Vagore, Tehsil Nadoti, District Karauli, Rajasthan.
150. Chhitar Mal Jat S/o Shri Chhotu Ram Jat, Aged About 59 Years, R/o Dhanala Ki Dhani, Cheethwari, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 672/2024

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary,



Department Of Medical (Group-Iv) Ayurvedic Department,
Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Director, Directorate Of Ayurvedic Department,
Rajasthan, Ajmer.
3. The Deputy Director, Ayurvedic Department,
Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

----Appellants

Versus

Dr. Kuldeep Singh S/o Shri Badri Prasad, Aged About 58 Years,
R/o Ward No. 11, Behind Sheetla Mandir, Bhadra, District
Hanumangarh (Raj.).

----Respondent

(Matters listed at Jaipur)

(Through V.C.)

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 881/2023

State of Rajasthan

----Appellants

Versus

Dr. Ramashankar Prateek S/o Shri Badri Narayan Prateek

----Respondent

Connected With

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 809/2023

State of Rajasthan

----Appellants

Versus

Dr. Rameshwar Prasad Mishra S/o Ramvilas Sharma

----Respondent

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 810/2023

State of Rajasthan

----Appellants

Versus

Dr. Mahesh Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Bhanwar Lal Sharma

----Respondent

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 882/2023

State of Rajasthan

----Appellants

Versus

Dr. Shiv Kumar Pareek, Son Of Late Shri Shiv Dayal Pareek





----Respondent

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 883/2023

State of Rajasthan

----Appellants

Versus

Ghanshaym Joshi S/o Varsshi Chand Sharma

----Respondent

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 885/2023

State of Rajasthan

----Appellants

Versus

Bhagwati Prasad Sharma S/o Shri Ganpat Rai Sharma

----Respondent

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 887/2023

State of Rajasthan

----Appellants

Versus

Dr. Puspulata Sharma D/o Shri Bhonri Lal Sharma

----Respondent

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 888/2023

State Of Rajasthan

----Appellant

Versus

Ram Gopal Sharma S/o Shri Dhanna Lal Sharma

----Respondent

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 894/2023

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Additional Chief Secretary, Bhartiya Chikitsa And Ayurved Department, Government Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.).

2. The Director, Bhartiya Chikitsa And Ayurved Department, Ajmer (Raj.)

3. The Dy. Director (Jaipur-B), Bhartiya Chikitsa And Ayurved Department, Ayush Bhawan, Nri Circle, Sector-26, Prartap Nagar, Jaipur (Raj.).

4. The Director (Pension), Pension And Welfare Department, Jyoti Nagar, Jaipur (Raj.)

----Appellants

Versus

1. Dr. Madan Mohan Sharma S/o Shri Laxmi Kant Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Govind Mohalla Kaman Bharatpur (Raj.)





2. Dr. Indu Sharma W/o Shri Raj Kumar Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of House No. 108, Swarn Jayanti Nagar, Bharatpur (Raj.)
3. Dr. Jagram Sharma S/o Shri Babu Lal Sharma, Aged About 59 Years, Resident Village Post Rudawal, Tehsil Roopwas, Bharatpur (Raj.)
4. Dr. Smt. Reena Khandelwal W/o Shri Nirmanl Khandelwal, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Behind Jama Masjid, Purani Sabzi Mandi, Bharatpur (Raj.)
5. Dr. Balkrishan Sharma S/o Shri Ramji Lal Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Bhagwati Colony, Bayana, Bharatpur (Raj.)
6. Dr. Randheer Singh Indoliya S/o Shri Udai Singh, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of 113, Dunde Patti Baseri, Ucchain, Bharatpur (Raj.)
7. Dr. Laxmikant Sharma S/o Shri Gangaram Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of B-27 Ke Piche, Shankar Vihar, Bhomiya Ji Ke Mandir Ke Pass, Gaitor, Jagapura, Jaipur (Raj.)
8. Dr. Subhash Chand Sharma S/o Shri Roop Singh Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of H.no. 210, Kali Ki Bagichi Ke Pass, Swarn Jayanti Nagar, Bharatpur (Raj.)
9. Dr. Dinesh Chand Awasthi S/o Shri Rameshwar Dayal, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Ward No. 14, Fci Godown Ke Pass, Kathumar Road, Khedali, Alwar (Raj.)
10. Dr. Anil Kumar Gupta S/o Shri Ramoli Ram Gupta, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 56-B, Prem Nagar, Gurjar Ki Thadi, New Sanganer, Road, Jaipur (Raj.)
11. Dr. Ramniwas Sharma S/o Shri Roop Singh, Aged About 50 Years, Resident Of Bharthari Colony, Mittal Petrol Pump Ke Samne, Bayana, Bharatpur (Raj.)
12. Dr. Puran Lal S/o Shri Sukhdev Prasad, Aged About 58 Years, Resident Of Anand Vihar Colony, Bayana, Bharatpur
13. Dr. Satyaveer Singh S/o Shri Ramdayal, Aged About 58 Years, Resident Of Gram Post Karili, Nadbai, Bharatpur (Raj.)
14. Dr. Dhanesh Sharma S/o Shri Devi Lal Sharma, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of 107, Bhairav Bhawan Gandhi Nagar, Sewar Road, Bharatpur (Raj.)
15. Dr. Dayal Krishan Vashistha S/o Shri Kunda Lal Sharma, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of Govind Mohalla, Kaman, Bharatpur (Raj.)
16. Dr. Ravi Dutt Sharma S/o Shri Pothi Prasad Sharma,





Resident Of Sunar Mohalla, Nadbai, Bharatpur (Raj.)

17. Dr. Narayan Singh S/o Shri Hari Singh, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of Wear House Road, Post Office Ke Samne, Katra, Nadbai, Bharatpur (Raj.)

18. Dr. Vishwapriya Sharma S/o Shri Babu Lal Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Village And Post Saindhali, Tehsil Bhusawar District Bharatpur (Raj.)

19. Dr. Mastram Mahant S/o Shri Ramdhan Mahant, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Mahant Ki Dhani, Toda Thekala, Dausa (Raj.)

20. Dr. Suresh Chandra Sharma S/o Shri Brijmohan Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Suklav Sadan, New Colony, Katwa Road, Lalsot, Dausa (Raj.)

21. Dr. Vishnu Kant Sharma S/o Shri Sachidanand Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Tiwari Dharamkante Ke Samne, Agra Road, Choudhary Colony, Dausa (Raj.)

22. Dr. Banwari Lal Vijay S/o Shri Manohar Lal Vijay, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Dubbi, Dausa (Raj.)

23. Dr. Mohan Singh S/o Shri Ramprasad, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of Kherali, Gujjar, Bharatpur (Raj.)

24. Dr. Shyam Sundar Sharma S/o Shri Totaram Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of Saket Colony, Shaithal Mod, Dausa (Raj.)

25. Dr. Subhash Chandra Sharma S/o Shri Chiranji Lal Sharma, Aged About 59 Years, Resident Of L-685, Shikshak Colony, Dausa (Raj.)

26. Dr. Umakant Parashar S/o Shri Suraj Mal, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of No. 2 School Ke Pass, Hindaun City, Karauli (Raj.)

27. Dr. Suresh Chand Mehra S/o Shri Kanhaiya Lal, Aged About 58 Years, Resident Of Mukhya Post Office Ke Pass, Indra Colony, Karauli (Raj.)

28. Dr. Krishan Gopal Sharma S/o Shri Shridhar Sharma, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of 132 Kv Hati Ghata, Karauli (Raj.)

29. Dr. Rajesh Kumar Gupta S/o Shri Damodar Prasad Gupta, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Adarsh Colony, Mohan Nagar, Hindauncity, Karauli (Raj.)

30. Dr. Radhey Lal Baghariya S/o Shri Siriya Ram, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Gram Post Nandauti, District Karauli (Raj.)

31. Dr. Alkesh Upadhyay S/o Shri Sukhdev Prasad, Aged





About 55 Years, Resident Of Vip Colony, Karauli (Raj.)

32. Dr. Bhajan Lal S/o Shri Bhoori Lal, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Gopal Talkies, Hindaun City, Karauli (Raj.)

33. Dr. Ramesh Chand S/o Shri Rambharosi, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Viharu Pada, Sarmathura Dholpur (Raj.)

34. Dr. Mukesh Babu Sharma S/o Shri Badri Prasad Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Pata Chowk, Sarmathura, Dholpur (Raj.)

35. Dr. Rajendra Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Radhey Shyam Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of Pipalda Bonli, Malrana Choud, Sawaimadhopur (Raj.)

36. Dr. Satya Prakash Sharma S/o Shri Ghanshyam Lal Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 135, Teli Bairwa Mohalla, Jastana, Sawaimadhopur (Raj.)

37. Dr. Mahesh Chand Gupta S/o Shri Jagdish Prasad Gupta, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Mataji Mandir Road, Khirni, Sawaimadhopur (Raj.)

38. Dr. Manoj Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Hari Charan Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of Jastana, Sawaimadhopur (Raj.)

39. Dr. Krishan Awatar Sharma S/o Shri Kailash Chand Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of Dashara Maidan, Rajvihar Colony, Sawaimadhopur (Raj.)

40. Dr. Gopal Lal Sharma S/o Shri Gulab Chand Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Main Market, Liwali, Sawaimadhopur (Raj.)

41. Dr. Roop Narayan Sharma S/o Shri Ramkaran Sharma, Aged About 58 Years, Resident Of House No. 6, Gali No. 10, Saraswati Colony, Baran Road, Kota (Raj.)

42. Dr. Ghanshyam S/o Shri Raghunath Das, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of Gyani Transport Ke Piche, Mahaveer Nagar, Khairabad Road, Ramganj Mandi, Kota (Raj.)

43. Dr. Jaswant Singh Meena S/o Shri Purushottam Meena, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 247, Mahaveer Nagar-2, Kota (Raj.)

44. Dr. Vijaya Jain D/o Shri Avneesh Kumar Jain, Aged About 53 Years, Resident Of C-10, Police Line Road, Pratap Nagar, Devpura, District Bundi (Raj.)

45. Dr. Rajendra Singh Solanki S/o Shri Hari Singh Solanki, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of House No. 151, Bagad House, Balchand Pada, Bundi (Raj.)





46. Dr. Prem Chand Garg S/o Shri Ramgopal Garg, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 449-B, Shanti Nagar-2, Durgapura, Jaipur (Raj.)

47. Dr. Dinesh Kumar S/o Shri Shiv Dayal Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of C-14, Vasundhaa Vihar, Bajrang Nagar, Kota (Raj.)

48. Dr. Pyare Lal Meena S/o Shri Kanhaiya Lal Meena, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Gothara Tehsil Sapotara, Karauli (Raj.)

49. Dr. Ramji Lal Sharma S/o Shri Shambhu Lal, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of 44, Printer Nagar-2, Sitabadi, Tonk Road, Jaipur (Raj.)

50. Dr. Batti Lal Bairwa S/o Shri Girdhar Bairwa, Aged About 53 Years, Resident Of 182/81, Kumbha Marg, Sector-18, Pratap Nagar, Jaipur (Raj.)

51. Dr. Prem Shankar Gupta S/o Shri Nathu Lal Mahajan, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of 33/116, Kumbha Marg, Sector3, Pratap Nagar, Jaipur (Raj.)

52. Dr. Mahendra Kumar Gupta S/o Shri Roop Narayan Gupta, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Plot No. 32, Jain Colony, Jhilay Road, Niwai, Tonk (Raj.)

53. Dr. Hanuman Prasad Sharma S/o Shri Ramavtar Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 44, Brahmin Barauda, Sawaimadhapur (Raj.)

54. Dr. Shambhu Dayal Sharma S/o Shri Riddhi Karan Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of 8, Dr. R.s. Sharma Ke Pass, Shivaji Nagar, Tonk (Raj.)

55. Dr. Radha Mohan Swarnkar S/o Shri Ramdayal Swarnka, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 10/551, Kaveri Path, Mansarovar, Jaipur (Raj.)

56. Dr. Ramesh Chandra Sharma S/o Shri Ramgopal Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of 17, Pratap Nagar, Jaipur Road, Malpura, Tonk (Raj.)

57. Dr. Lalit Kumar Mishra S/o Shri Nand Lal Mishra, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of 132, Ladnu Road, High School Ke Pass, Mishra Basti, Tehsil Ladnu, Jaswantgarh, Nagaur (Raj.)

58. Dr. Salimuddin Qureshi S/o Lal Mohammad Qureshi, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Village Chithwadi, Mukam Post Morija, District Jaipur (Raj.)

59. Dr. Kailash Chandra Manmya S/o Shri Ram Chandra Manmya, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Gram Post Manmya





Ka Mohalla, Ward No. 4, Brahmano Ki Sareri, Tehsil Asind, District Bhilwara (Raj.)

60. Dr. Mahesh Chand Sharma S/o Shri Kedar Lal Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Village Post Kaima, Tehsil Nandauti, District Karauli (Raj.)

61. Dr. Madan Lal Sharma S/o Shri Susya Ram Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of Nawali, Bharatpur (Raj.)

62. Dr. Ram Naresh Sharma S/o Shri Phoolwari Lal Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Jetha Pada, Jaisalmer (Raj.)

63. Dr. Bajrang Lal Sharma S/o Shri Hajari Lal Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Village Post Bowadi, District Jaipur (Raj.)

64. Dr. Ashok Rawat S/o Shri Hari Narayan Rawat, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of 29, Pushpa Rishi Colony, Sumerpur (Raj.)

65. Dr. Mukesh Chandra S/o Shri Lalaram Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Kanuja District Pali (Raj.)

66. Dr. Siyaram Sharma S/o Shri Pappaya Ram Sharma, Aged About 58 Years, Resident Of 64, Brahmin Mohalla, Bebar, Halena, Bharatpur (Raj.)

67. Dr. Kuldeep Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Babu Lal Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of 21-B, Bhagwati Nagar-2, Kartarpura, Jaipur (Raj.)

68. Dr. Anil Kumar S/o Shri Bal Kishan, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Govind Mohalla, Kama, Bharatpur (Raj.)

69. Dr. Om Prakash S/o Shri Birbal Ram, Aged About 53 Years, Resident Of 8/5, Rajasthan Housing Board Colony, Hanumangarh Junction (Raj.)

70. Dr. Sundar Lal Joshi S/o Shri Hanuman Prasad Joshi, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of 102, Vinoba Basti, Ramleela Maidan, Sukhadiya Circle, Sriganganagar (Raj.)

71. Dr. Manorama Sharma W/o Shri Sundar Lal Joshi, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 102, Vinoba Basti, Ramleela Maidan, Sukhadiya Circle, Sriganganagar (Raj.)

72. Dr. Samundar Singh Sharma S/o Shri Kirodi Lal, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 9, Swami Nagar, Buhana, Udaipur (Raj.)

73. Dr. Mahesh Chandra Acharya S/o Shri Madhav Lal Acharya, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of Mohi Rajsamand (Raj.)

74. Dr. Bhagwat Giri Goswami S/o Shri Kishan Giri Goswami, Aged About 59 Years, Resident Of Solanki Darwaje Ke Andar, Goswami Mohalla, Devgarh, Rajsamand (Raj.)





75. Dr. Jai Prakash Vaishnav S/o Shri Jagannath Prasad, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Mukam Post Saredi Badi (Raj.)

76. Dr. Vijay Lal Jain S/o Shri Mitha Lal Jain, Aged About 52 Years, Resident Of Ganoda, District Banswara (Raj.)

77. Dr. Inayat Khan Pathan S/o Shri Jabbar Khan, Aged About 58 Years, Resident Of Bedwa, Partapur, District Banswara (Raj.)

78. Dr. Gopal Lal Jat S/o Shri Mukund Ram Jat, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Bawali Wali Dhani, Chithwadi, Jaipur (Raj.)

79. Dr. Anand Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Ram Shankar Sharma, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of B-318, Punarwas Colony, Sagwada, Dungarpur (Raj.)

80. Dr. Praveen Kumar Pandya S/o Shri Radhey Govind Pandya, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of Plot No. 06, Brahamsthali, New Colony, Dungarpur (Raj.)

81. Dr. Vinod Kumar Purohit S/o Shri Chabilal Purohit, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of Mukam Post Khadegada, Tehsil Sagwara, District Dungarpur (Raj.)

82. Dr. Gopal Ram Sharma S/o Shri Kishan Lal Sharma, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of 41-D, Basant Vihar Mali Colony, Tekari, Shastri Circle, Udaipur (Raj.)

83. Dr. Krishan Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Netrapal Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of 160/39, Kumbha Marg, Pratap Nagar, Sector-16, Sanganer, Jaipur (Raj.)

84. Dr. Rambharosi Lal Sharma S/o Shri Jagannath Prasad Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 04-C, Shivam Complex, Shastri Circle, Mirwa, Badagaon, Udaipur (Raj.)

85. Dr. Mahesh Kumar Gupta S/o Shri Jamuna Prasad Gupta, Aged About 53 Years, Resident Of 28, Kandhar Complex, Hiran Magri, Sector-14, Mirwa, Udaipur (Raj.)

86. Dr. Gunwant Singh Dewara S/o Shri Chatar Singh Dewara, Aged About 59 Years, Resident Of Shri Sukhdevi Bhawan, Mataji, Mukhya Marg, Hanuman Mandir Ke Pass, Bada Gaon, Udaipur (Raj.)

87. Dr. Mukesh Kumar Bhardwaj S/o Shri Mahadev Prasad Bhardwaj, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of 32, Road No. 2, Saint Pregriya Ke Piche, Mirwa, New Bhopalpura, Udaipur (Raj.)

88. Dr. Prakash Chand Dhobi S/o Shri Kalulal Dhobi, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of S-34, Gandhi Nagar, Malwa Talai, Girwa, Udaipur (Raj.)

89. Dr. Satyendra Singh Bhadoriya S/o Shri Meharban Singh Bhadoriya, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of A-202, Bedla,





Badgaon, Link Road, Udaipur (Raj.)

90. Dr. Vijay Kumar Shukal S/o Shri Shiv Ram Shukal, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 1/224, Goverdhan Vilas Sector14, Hiran Magri, Udaipur (Raj.)

91. Dr. Madan Lal Joshi S/o Shri Hem Shankar Joshi, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of Satyanaray Mandir Ke Pass, Warni, Udaipur (Raj.)

92. Dr. Bhupendra Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Gajendra Prasad Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 1463, Gayatri Nagar, Hiran Magri, Sector-5, Udaipur (Raj.)

93. Dr. Jagdish Prasad Nakela S/o Shri Niranjan Lal, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of 50, Sanjay Colony, Royal Garden, Rata Khet, Udaipur (Raj.)

94. Dr. Shobha Lal Odichya S/o Shri Vridhi Shankar Odichya, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 9/799, Gametiyon Ki Gali, Brahma Pole, Girwa, Udaipur (Raj.)

95. Dr. Krishna Sharma W/o Shri Ramveer Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of House No. 98, I-Block, Sector-14, Udaipur (Raj.)

96. Dr. Niranjan Kumar S/o Shri Devi Dutt, Aged About 59 Years, Resident Of 28, Dayanidhi, Hiran Magri, Sector No 11, Shastri Nagar Circle, Udaipur (Raj.)

97. Dr. Ramavtar Jain S/o Shri Ramji Lal, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of C-165, Ambedkar Nagar, Alwar (Raj.)

98. Dr. Meena Bhardwaj D/o Shri Vinay Bhardwaj, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of B-3-34, Apna Ghar, Shalimar, Telko Service, Alwar (Raj.)

99. Dr. Ramsingh Morodiya S/o Shri Maktul Singh, Aged About 53 Years, Resident Of B-21, Ambedkar Nagar, Alwar (Raj.)

100. Dr. Gajendra Prasad Barbar S/o Shri Bholaram, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 40, Yog Nagar, Alwar (Raj.)

101. Dr. Uma Shankar Tiwari S/o Shri Shanti Prasad, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of A-41, Malviya Nagar, Alwar (Raj.)

102. Dr. Hukam Chand Gautam S/o Shri Ramji Lal, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of A-260, Hasan Khan Mewar Nagar, Alwar (Raj.)

103. Dr. Bhupendra Kumar Jat S/o Shri Sohan Lal Jat, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of 459, Vijay Nagar, Alwar (Raj.)

104. Dr. Ashok Kumar Yadav S/o Shri Ram Kumar Yadav, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of 564, Vijay Nagar, Alwar (Raj.)

105. Dr. Ram Niwas Yadav S/o Shri Ram Kumar Yadav, Aged





About 54 Years, Resident Of C-176, Hasan Khan Mewat Nagar, Alwar (Raj.)

106. Dr. Krishan Kant Sharma S/o Shri Makkhan Lal Sharma, Aged About 53 Years, Resident Of Purane Hospital Ke Samne, Behror, Alwar (Raj.)

107. Dr. Mohar Singh Fasal S/o Shri Hari Singh, Aged About 53 Years, Resident Of 4/125, Neb Vistar, Alwar (Raj.)

108. Dr. Ramesh Chand Bhagoriya S/o Shri Chiranji Lal, Aged About 53 Years, Resident Of 94, Near Ramleela Maidan, Kot Kasim, Alwar (Raj.)

109. Dr. Pushpesh Kumar Mishra S/o Shri Ramesh Chandra, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 73-D-, Lajpat Nagar, Scheme2, Alwar (Raj.)

110. Dr. Rajesh Kumar Bhardwaj S/o Shri Gauri Shankar, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Barod, Behror, Alwar (Raj.)

111. Dr. Dalip Singh S/o Shri Syorati Ram, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Gutha Alwar (Raj.)

112. Dr. Nawal Kishore Jaluthariya S/o Shri Gauri Sahay, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Karna Alwar (Raj.)

113. Dr. Mahendra Singh Shekhawat S/o Shri Ram Singh, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of Plot No. 5, M.h. Officer Mess Ke Samne, Jai Pathan Road, Alwar (Raj.)

114. Dr. Reeta Grovar D/o Shri Tirth Lal, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of 5, Gayatri Mandir Road, Dhanbai Ji Ka Bagh, Alwar (Raj.)

115. Dr. Renu Grovar D/o Shri Tirth Lal, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of D-62, Mewat, Alwar (Raj.)

116. Dr. Umadutt Sharma S/o Shri Sughan Chand Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of A-2, Shakti Nagar, Vijay Mandir Road, Alwar (Raj.)

117. Dr. Shyam Lal Sharma S/o Shri Dhudaram Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of Dunga Ka Bass, Post Kolaheda, Alwar (Raj.)

118. Dr. Pradeep Kumar Pandey S/o Shri Ram Padharth Pandey, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Tilak Nagar, Rani Sati Road, Bioscope Cinema Ke Pass, Sikar (Raj.)

119. Dr. Shrinidhi Sharma S/o Shri Bhuramal Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Aaspura, Via. Ajitgarh, Sikar (Raj.)

120. Dr. Nirmal Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Mahaveer Prasad Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Dabawali, Via. Srimadhapur, Sikar (Raj.)





121. Dr. Nisha Mathur W/o Shri Satya Narayan Mathur, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Purane Power House Ke Pass, Ward No. 29, Mathur Colony Pura, Sikar (Raj.)

122. Dr. Vijay Prakash Sharma S/o Shri Navrang Lal Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Plot No. 12-13, Lal Singh Colony, To Road, Sikar (Raj.)

123. Dr. Shubhra Pareek W/o Shri Shankar Sahay Pareek, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of A-309, Radha Kirshan Enclave, Shiksha Vihar, Jagatpura, Jaipur (Raj.)

124. Dr. Shankar Sahay Pareek S/o Shri Sita Sahay Pareek, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of A-309, Radha Kirshan Enclave, Shiksha Vihar, Jagatpura, Jaipur (Raj.)

125. Dr. Fateh Singh S/o Shri Kundan Lal, Aged About 59 Years, Resident Of Ballabh, Bhusawar, Bharatpur (Raj.)

126. Dr. Gyanendra Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Babu Lal Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of F-27, Bhagad Nagar, Jaipur Road, Chomu, Jaipur (Raj.)

127. Dr. Santosh Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Harish Chandra Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 107-A, Anand Vihar-B, Dadi Ka Phatak, Benad Road, Jhotwara, Jaipur (Raj.)

128. Dr. Lallu Lal Jat S/o Shri Bhiwaram Jat, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 106, Navi Kothi, Isharwala Bilochi, Amer, Jaipur (Raj.)

129. Dr. Gulab Chand Sharma S/o Shri Jagdish Prasad, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of Khojo Ki Dhani, Kaladera, Tehsil Chomu, Jaipur (Raj.)

130. Dr. Subhash Chandra Kaushik S/o Shri Makkhan Lal, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Badhal, Tehsil Chomu, Jaipur (Raj.)

131. Dr. Chhote Lal Chetiwal S/o Shri Ramji Lal, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of 64/39, Pratap Nagar, Sector-6, Sanganer, Jaipur (Raj.)

132. Dr. Pradeep Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Ramswaroop Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 182, Patel Nagar, New Sanganer Road, Vijay Path, Jaipur (Raj.)

133. Dr. Naveen Kumar Mishra S/o Shri Satya Dev, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of C-99, Ward No. 2, Riico Colony, Jhunjhunu (Raj.)

134. Dr. Vijendra Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Mangi Lal Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Ward No. 10, Ojatu, Jhunjhunu (Raj.)





135. Dr. Renu Srivastava W/o Shri Chandrabhan Srivastava, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 93, Hilque Colony, Chhabra, Baran (Raj.)

136. Dr. Girraj Prasad Sharma S/o Shri Jagdish Prasad Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of 27, Ganesh Colony, Jagatpura, Jaipur (Raj.)

137. Dr. Anita W/o Shri Manoj Kumar Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of Cd-66, High Court Colony, Jodhpur (Raj.)

138. Dr. Kushal Pal Singh Nathawat S/o Shri Tej Singh Nathawat, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of 153/25, Shipra Path, Mansarovar, Jaipur (Raj.)

139. Dr. Purushottam Lal Gautam S/o Shri Ram Kishore Gautam, Aged About 53 Years, Resident Of Sawaimadhapur (Raj.)

140. Dr. Shri Ballabh Gautam S/o Shri Ram Prasad Gautam, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Sawaimadhapur (Raj.)

141. Dr. Khem Raj Gautam S/o Shri Raghuv eer Prasad Gautam, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of Sawaimadhapur (Raj.)

142. Dr. Shiv Singh Poswal S/o Shri Radha Mohan Gurjar, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of Gram Thali, Post Khuntala, Via. Gangapurcity, Sawaimadhapur (Raj.)

143. Dr. Shiv Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Gopal Sharma, Aged About 52 Years, Resident Of 54, Shriram Nagar, Vki Area No. 2 Ke Samne, Murlipura, Jaipur (Raj.)

144. Dr. Anshuman Chaturvedi S/o Shri Radhey Lal Chaubey, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Plot No. 2, Hastinapur, Karni Palace Road, Vaishali Nagar, Panchyawala, Jaipur (Raj.)

145. Dr. Subhash Chand Bhatt S/o Shri Jeewan Nath, Aged About 53 Years, Resident Of B-295, Punarwas Colony, Sagwara, District Dungarpur (Raj.)

146. Dr. Sumati Prakash Bhardwaj S/o Shri Chunni Lal Bhardwaj, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Civil Lines, Raipura, District Jhalawar (Raj.)

147. Dr. Govind Lal Mangal S/o Shri Om Prakash Mangal, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of B-18, Shiv Marg, Mittal Colony, Dholpur (Raj.)

148. Dr. Bharat Singh Rathore S/o Shri Bhim Singh Rathore, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Police Thane Ke Piche, Nokha, Bikaner (Raj.)

149. Dr. Suresh Chandra Dadhich S/o Shri Banwari Lal Dadhich, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Plot No. 121, Ghanshyam





Vihar, Poonia Colony, Churu (Raj.)

150. Dr. Govind Narayan Sharma S/o Shri Purushottam Sharma, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Anukampa-B Extn., 28, Agrasen Nagar, Madanganj, Kishangarh, Ajmer (Raj.)

151. Dr. Shyam Singh S/o Shri Amar Singh, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Village Post Randheergarh, Bhusawar, Bharatpur

152. Dr. Chandra Prakash Sharma S/o Shri Girraj Prasad, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Khadi Ke Piche, Nehru Nagar, Bharatpur

153. Dr. Kishan Singh Pelawat S/o Shri Punaram Pelawat, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of Madhuvan Colony, Dholpur

154. Dr. Gopali Singh D/o W/o Shri Balki Ram, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of Mangal Vihar, Sepau Road, Dholpur

155. Dr. Darshan Singh S/o Shri Khushi Lal, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of Shatri Nagar, Sector No. 5, House No. 74, Gaushala, Dholpur

156. Dr. Gulab Singh Meena S/o Shri Raghuvar Dayal Meena, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Mali Wale Hanuman Ji Ke Samne, Meena Colony, Badi, Dholpur

157. Dr. Kuldeep Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Murari Lal Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 192/14, Pratap Nagar, Sanganer, Jaipur

158. Dr. Mukesh Kumar Gupta S/o Shri Laxman Prasad Gupta, Aged About 58 Years, Resident Of Indra Colony, Gulabpura, Bhilwara

159. Dr. Surendra Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Hari Shankar Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 33, Gulab Nagar, Railway Station Ke Samne, Sanganer, Jaipur

160. Dr. Rewati Raman Pareek S/o Shri Nawal Kishore Pareek, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of A-78, New Jawahar Nagar, Kota

161. Dr. Surendra Kumar Bhargava S/o Shri Chaturbhuj Shastri, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of 62, Shri R.k.puram, Kota

162. Dr. Yugal Kishor Arya S/o Shri Radhey Shyam Arya, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of 472-A, Shri Nathpura, Kota

163. Dr. Hari Kishan Gurjar S/o Shri Prithvi Raj Gurjar, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Mukam Post Khedli, Tehsil Bamanwas, District Sawaimadhopur

164. Dr. Narendra Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Shyam Lal Joshi,





Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of D-37-38, Flat No. 504, Swedeshi Apartment, Shanti Path, Patra Colony, Jawahar Nagar, Jaipur

165. Dr. Ramswaroop Sharma S/o Shri Sohan Lal Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Mohalla Shekhpura, Ward No. 35, Sikar

166. Dr. Jagan Lal Meena S/o Shri Ramji Lal Meena, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of Vasundhara Colony, Saloda Mode, Gangapurcity, Sawaimadhapur

167. Dr. Om Prakash Meena S/o Shri Ramji Lal Meena, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Vrindavan Nikunj, House No. 70, Meena Coolony, Gangapurcity, Sawaimadhapur

168. Dr. Pawan Kumar Bhatara S/o Shri Surendra Kumar Bhatara, Aged About 52 Years, Resident Of Kalyan Nagar, Vki Road No. 3 Ke Samne, Jaipur.

169. Dr. Kamlesh Kumar Trivedi S/o Shri Girraj Prasad Trivedi, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Pragya Academy Ke Pass, Adarsh Nagar, College Road, Gangapurcity, Sawaimadhapur

170. Dr. Devendra Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Kedar Lal Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of Vishnu Tent House Ke Samne, Udai Mode, Gangapurcity, Sawaimadhapur.

171. Dr. Dinesh Kumar Gupta S/o Shri Prabhu Lal Gupta, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Gyan Dhara School Ke Pass, Kcollege Road, Gangapurcity, Sawaimadhapur

172. Dr. Loona Mathur S/o Shri Devendra Kumar Mathur, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of 250, Indra Prasth, B.k. Kaul Nagar, Foy Sagar Road, Ajmer

173. Dr. Gopal Ram S/o Shri Jagveer Singh, Aged About 58 Years, Resident Of 155, Galino. 2, Opp. Balaji College, Ganesh Nagar, Needar, Harmara, Jaipur

174. Dr. Ashok Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Kedar Nath Sharma, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of Janak Kalyan Samiti Ke Samne, Singhaniya Colony, Piprali Road, Sikar

175. Dr. Jagdish Chandra Pancholi S/o Shri Puroshottam Dayal Pancholi, Aged About 56 Years, Resident Of 197, Naya Bazar, Rawatbhata, Chittorgarh.

176. Dr. Kailash Chandra Sharma S/o Shri Kanhaiya Lal Sharma, Aged About 51 Years, Resident Of 68,shanti Bihar Sitabari, Tonk Road, Jaipur

177. Dr. Om Prakash Sharma S/o Shri Radhey Shyam Sharma, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of House No. 4/208, Swami





Vivekanand Nagar, Kota.

----Respondents

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Rajendra Prasad, Senior Advocate & Advocate General assisted by Mr. Anirudh Singh Shekhawat

Mr. N.S. Rajpurohit, AAG

For Respondent(s) : Mr. R.N. Mathur Senior Advocate (through VC) assisted by Mr. J.S. Bhaleria, Mr. D.S. Sodha, Ms. Aditi Vats, Mr. Akshit Gupta, Mr. Himanshu Pareek, Mr. Yashpal Khileri, Mr. Hemant Shrimali



HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA

Judgment

Reserved on 28/08/2025

Pronounced on 11/11/2025

Per Dr. Pushpendra Singh Bhati, J:

1. These batch of intra-court appeals, preferred by the State of Rajasthan and its functionaries of the Ayurved Department, have been listed together for analogous hearing, as they involve common questions of law and fact arising out of substantially identical orders passed by the learned Single Judges of this Court at both the Principal Seat, Jodhpur, and the Jaipur Bench.

1.1. The appeals at the Jaipur Bench were directed to be heard analogously with the connected matters pending at the Principal Seat, Jodhpur, in view of the order dated 11.09.2024, passed in



D.B. Special Appeal (Writ) No.881/2023 (State of Rajasthan v. Dr. Ramashankar Prateek) and connected cases. By the said order, the Hon'ble Division Bench at Jaipur noted that the impugned orders in those writ petitions were based upon the judgment rendered in Bijendra Kumar Tyagi & Ors. v. State of Rajasthan & Ors., S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.11021/2020, decided on 12.01.2023, which itself was under challenge in appeals pending before the Principal Seat at Jodhpur.

1.2. Taking into consideration the nature of reliefs sought, primarily concerning pensionary and terminal benefits of retired Ayurved Medical Officers and the overlapping legal issues involved, both sets of appeals were directed to be heard together. Accordingly, the matters from the Principal Seat at Jodhpur as well as the Jaipur Bench have been clubbed and are being decided by this common judgment.

1.3. At the outset, it is clarified that certain applications for withdrawal of writ petitions were moved on behalf of some of the respondent-writ petitioners during the pendency of these appeals. Vide order dated 20.05.2025, passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench, the said applications were considered. Consequently, the writ petition forming the subject matter of D.B. Special Appeal (Writ) No.912/2023 was permitted to be withdrawn, and accordingly, the said appeal was dismissed as having become infructuous. Likewise, in D.B. Special Appeal (Writ) No.894/2023, the writ petition was dismissed as withdrawn qua the following respondent-writ petitioners:





(i) Dr. Ramji Lal Sharma,

(ii) Dr. Ramesh Chandra Sharma,

(iii) Dr. Sundar Lal Joshi,

(iv) Dr. Gajendra Prasad Barbar,

(v) Dr. Hukam Chand Gautam,

(vi) Dr. Bhupendra Kumar Jat,

(vii) Dr. Umadutt Sharma, and

(viii) Dr. Vijay Prakash Sharma.

1.4. It is, therefore, made clear that the present common judgment does not concern the aforesaid appeals and writ petitioners whose matters stand disposed of in terms of the said order dated 20.05.2025. Furthermore, it is also noted that, in respect of D.B. Special Appeal (Writ) No.1088/2023, arising out of S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.3904/2023, the respondent No.2 (writ petitioner) had sought withdrawal of the writ petition qua him, which prayer was allowed vide order dated 28.08.2025, resulting in dismissal of the writ petition to that extent. Accordingly, the present adjudication does not include the said respondent No.2 (writ petitioner) in D.B. Special Appeal (Writ) No.1088/2023.

1.5. In view of the above, it is reiterated that this common judgment shall be confined only to the remaining connected appeals that survive for consideration on merits, excluding those writ petitions and parties which already stand disposed of as withdrawn.





2. Since all the instant appeals arise out of a common controversy, though with marginal variations in individual factual settings, this Court deems it appropriate, for the purpose of analogous adjudication, to treat **D.B. Special Appeal (Writ) No.928/2023 (The State of Rajasthan & Ors. v. Pawan Kumar Sharma)** as the lead case. Accordingly, the prayer clauses, factual matrix, and legal submissions are being referred to from the said appeal. The rival submissions of the parties and the observations of this Court in this common judgment shall, unless otherwise specified, be understood with reference to the facts of the lead case.

2.1. The prayer clauses of D.B. Special Appeal (Writ) No.928/2023 (The State of Rajasthan & Ors. v. Pawan Kumar Sharma) read as follows:

"It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that the appeal may kindly be accepted, the impugned judgment of the learned Single Judge dated 12.01.2023 may kindly be set aside and the writ petition filed by the respondent/writ petitioner may be dismissed.

Any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may kindly also be passed in favour of the humble appellants."

3. The bone of contention in the present case, as raised in the writ petitions wherein the impugned common order has been passed by the learned Single Judge, was that the Committee constituted by the appellant-State itself had recommended that pensioners like the respondents-writ petitioners were entitled to all pensionary and service benefits, including Assured Career





Progression (ACP), with effect from the date of their first appointment on urgent/temporary/ad hoc basis. However, despite such recommendations and the fact that the services of the writ petitioners were duly regularised after being found suitable by the duly constituted Screening Committee, the appellant-State, while disagreeing with the Committee's report, issued the impugned order dated 31.07.2020 directing that such benefits would be admissible only from the date of screening or regular selection by the Rajasthan Public Service Commission (RPSC). It was this departmental decision that formed the genesis of the writ petitions, which culminated in the order presently under appeal.

3.1. The learned Single Judge, at the Principal Seat, Jodhpur, decided a batch of writ petitions, including *S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.11021/2020, Bijendra Kumar Tyagi & Ors. v. State of Rajasthan & Ors.*, by a common judgment dated 12.01.2023, treating *S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.8023/2020 (Dr. Sita Ram Sharma & Ors. v. State of Rajasthan & Ors.)* as the lead case. The learned Single Judge noted that all the writ petitions involved identical questions of law and fact, arising from similar orders of appointment, service continuation, and regularisation under the same statutory framework.

3.2. The writ petitioners, being qualified Ayurved Chikitschaks, were appointed between the years 1991 and 1993 on urgent/temporary/ad hoc basis under Rule 27 of the Rajasthan Ayurved, Unani, Homoeopathy and Naturopathy Service Rules, 1973 (hereinafter referred to as "the Rules of 1973"). Their





services continued uninterrupted and, after amendments to the Rules, particularly those made by Notifications dated 08.04.1996 and 18.08.2006, they became eligible for screening and regularisation from the date of their first appointment. Pursuant to these amendments, a Screening Committee was constituted, which found the petitioners suitable and accordingly regularised their services with effect from the date of their initial appointment.

3.3. The learned Single Judge noted that the petitioners had been granted all consequential service benefits, including ACP, on the basis of their first appointment and that the Department had also extended identical benefits to similarly situated Ayurved Chikitschaks appointed prior to 1990, as well as to Unani and Homeopathy Medical Officers governed by the same Rules. It was observed that the subsequent order dated 31.07.2020, denying pensionary benefits from the initial date of appointment only for those appointed between 1990 and 1993, was discriminatory and arbitrary, offending Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India.

3.4. Consequently, the learned Single Judge allowed the batch of writ petitions, quashed the order dated 31.07.2020 and the connected recovery orders dated 18.11.2019 and 18.02.2020, and directed the State to grant all service and pensionary benefits with effect from the date of first appointment and to release the withheld benefits within four weeks.

3.5. The State of Rajasthan and its functionaries have preferred the present intra-court appeals, assailing the impugned common judgment primarily on the grounds that-





(i) the writ petitioners were initially appointed only on ad hoc or temporary basis without regular selection and hence could not claim continuity of service from such date;

(ii) the regularisation after screening in 2007 constituted a fresh appointment in law, conferring benefits prospectively; and

(iii) the direction to grant retrospective pensionary and ACP benefits from the date of first appointment imposes a substantial financial burden and runs contrary to Rule 5 of the Rajasthan Civil Services (Revised Pay Scale) Rules, 2008 (hereinafter referred to as "Rules of 2008"), which links such benefits to the date of regular appointment.

3.6. In view of the aforesaid background, the **central issue** which arises for adjudication in this batch of appeals is:

I. Whether Ayurved Chikitsaks appointed between 06.05.1990 and 31.12.1993 on urgent/temporary/ad hoc basis, whose services were later regularised after screening under the amended Rules of 1973, are entitled to reckon their service from the date of initial appointment for the purpose of pensionary and consequential benefits, or whether such benefits accrue only from the date of regularisation.

4. Mr. Rajendra Prasad, learned Senior Advocate and Advocate General, assisted by Mr. Anirudh Singh Shekhawat; Mr. N.S. Rajpurohit, AAG, appearing on behalf of the appellant-State, submitted that the respondent-writ petitioner was appointed on the post of Ayurved Chikitsak (Ayurvedic Doctor) on 26.07.1993





under Rule 27 of the Rules of 1973 on urgent temporary basis. Such appointment was continued, as no direct recruitment to the said post was made by the Department for several years.

4.1. It was submitted that by Notification dated 18.08.2006, an amendment was made in Rule 6 of the Rules of 1973, introducing a provision for screening of persons like the writ petitioners to adjudge their suitability for the post of Ayurved Chikitsak. In pursuance of Sub-rule (7) of Rule 6, a Screening Committee was constituted, which conducted the screening process, and by order dated 15.06.2007, the services of the concerned Ayurvedic Doctors were regularised. Some of the writ petitioners, meanwhile, were also selected through the Rajasthan Public Service Commission (RPSC), and their services were confirmed with effect from 01.04.1998.

4.2. It was further submitted that pursuant to the screening and subsequent confirmation, the writ petitioners were granted the first and second Assured Career Progressions (ACPs) by counting their services from 26.07.1993, followed by extension of benefits under the Rules of 2008 from the same date.

4.3. It was submitted that initially, appointments on urgent temporary basis were made as early as 1989, and with respect to Ayurvedic Doctors appointed up to 06.05.1990, an amendment was made on 08.04.1996 inserting Sub-rule (5) in Rule 6 of the Rules of 1973. Pursuant to that amendment, an order dated 08.08.1997 was issued for screening of such Ayurvedic Doctors.





4.3.1. It was pointed out that similar provisions were made for Homoeopathic and Unani Medical Officers, whereby their services were regularised from the date of their initial appointment. In this regard, a further amendment dated 17.08.2016 was made to extend such benefit to Unani and Homoeopathy Chikitsaks, and by order dated 13.06.2017, their services were regularised from the date of initial appointment.

4.4. It was submitted that drawing parity with the Unani and Homoeopathy branches, the writ petitioners claimed that they, too, ought to be given the same benefit. However, the Department had revised the ACPs of Ayurvedic Doctors appointed between 06.05.1990 and 31.12.1993, counting their service from the date of screening, and initiated recovery of the excess amounts earlier granted.

4.5. It was further submitted that as regards Ayurvedic Doctors appointed between 06.05.1990 and 31.12.1993, the State Government, with concurrence of the Department of Personnel (DoP), took a decision on 18.11.2019 to conduct screening in accordance with the Notification dated 18.08.2006, and consequential regularisation orders were issued on 15.06.2007. Doctors selected through RPSC, however, were to be granted benefits from the date of such selection.

4.6. It was also submitted that a subsequent decision was taken by the Department on 18.02.2020, directing that services of Ayurved Chikitsaks regularised after the screening conducted pursuant to the Notification dated 18.08.2006 would be treated as





regular w.e.f. 15.06.2007, whereas RPSC-selected doctors would be treated as regular from the date of selection.

4.7. The learned Advocate General further submitted that the issue concerning grant of selection scale had already been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in State of Rajasthan & Ors. v. Jagdish Narain Chaturvedi (Civil Appeal No. 2848/2006, decided on 08.05.2009), followed by a consequential circular dated 29.06.2009 issued by the State Government.

4.8. It was contended that the learned Single Judge failed to properly appreciate the ratio of Jagdish Narain Chaturvedi (supra), which, according to the appellant-State, did not support the case of the writ petitioners. The learned Single Judge, it was urged, erroneously extended the benefit of service and pension reckoning from the date of initial appointment, contrary to the principle laid down therein.

4.9. It was further submitted that a subsequent decision of the State Government dated 14.09.2023 clarified that ad hoc service rendered prior to regular selection through RPSC or screening cannot be counted for the purpose of ACP, which is in consonance with Jagdish Narain Chaturvedi (supra).

4.10. Reliance was also placed upon the judgment of the Full Bench of this Court in **State of Rajasthan v. Chandra Ram (D.B. Special Appeal No.589/2015, decided on 03.07.2017)**, wherein it was held that benefits relating to seniority or selection grade cannot be extended for the period prior to screening or regular selection.





4.11. The learned Advocate General submitted that though the regularisation of the writ petitioners' services was not disputed, the date of regularisation, being much later than their initial appointment, must form the basis for all service-related benefits, including ACP. He argued that the principle governing ACP clearly stipulates that no service rendered prior to regularisation or substantive appointment can be counted for the purpose of time-bound financial upgradation. He emphasized that the seniority of these doctors has already been fixed from the date of screening, which has attained finality, and therefore, the same principle must govern the grant of ACP. It was also submitted that the impugned order, if upheld, would impact nearly 521 Ayurved Chikitsaks, entailing a substantial financial burden on the State exchequer.

4.12. The learned Advocate General further submitted that the scheme of Assured Career Progression (ACP) was introduced under the Rules of 2008, wherein Rule 5(11) defines "regular service" and Rule 19(4) prescribes that financial upgradation is admissible only after ten years of continuous regular service in the same grade pay. For ready reference, the relevant extracts were cited as follows:

"5. Definitions.- *In these rules, unless there is anything repugnant in the subject or context,-*

(11) "Regular Service" *means and includes service rendered by a Government servant on his appointment after regular selection in accordance with the provisions contained in the relevant recruitment rules for that post. The period of service rendered on ad-hoc basis/urgent temporary basis shall not be counted as the regular service. In other words, the period of service which is*





countable for seniority shall only be counted as regular service”

"19. Scheme of Assured Career Progression.- *In lieu of selection grades, the scheme of Assured Career Progression (ACP) with three financial upgradations shall be allowed as under: -*

(4) Financial upgradation under the scheme will be available whenever a person has spent 10 years continuous regular service in the same grade pay. However, not more than three financial upgradations shall be given in the entire career."

4.13. Relying on the above provisions, it was contended that Rule 5(11) of the Rules of 2008 categorically excludes any ad hoc or temporary service from being counted as regular service for the purpose of grant of ACP, and thus, the learned Single Judge's direction to reckon such period for pensionary and ACP benefits is legally untenable.

5. On the other hand, Mr. R.N. Mathur Senior Advocate assisted by Mr. J.S. Bhaleria, Mr. D.S. Sodha, Ms. Aditi Vats, Mr. Akshit Gupta, Mr. Himanshu Pareek, Mr. Yashpal Khileri, Mr. Hemant Shrimali appearing on behalf of the respondents-writ petitioners vehemently opposed the submissions advanced by the learned Advocate General. It was submitted that the respondents-writ petitioners were appointed as Ayurved Chikitsaks in accordance with Rule 27 of the Rules of 1973, and that they had continuously served the Department without interruption. During service, the State itself had granted them first and second financial upgradations (ACP) and other consequential service benefits by





treating their services as regular from the date of their initial appointment.

5.1. Learned counsel further submitted that the State Government itself, vide Notification dated 08.04.1996, amended Rule 6 of the Rules of 1973 to provide for screening of such temporary/urgent/ad hoc appointees for the purpose of regularisation with effect from the date of their first appointment. The respondents-writ petitioners were duly screened by the duly constituted Screening Committee, found suitable, and consequently regularised from the date of their initial appointment. Hence, once the process of screening and regularisation was carried out under the authority of the amended Rules, the contention of the appellant-State that the said service cannot be treated as "regular service" is wholly untenable.

5.2. It was emphasized that as per the amended Rule 31 of the Rules of 1973, the seniority of persons so screened was to be determined in accordance with the said provision, and by Notification dated 18.08.2006, further amendments were made to Rules 6 and 31, whereby Proviso (7) was added to Rule 6. The said proviso expressly provides for regularisation of persons appointed on urgent/temporary/ad hoc basis on the post of Ayurved Chikitsak between 06.05.1990 and 31.12.1993, by way of screening, with effect from the date of their first/initial appointment.

5.3. It was also pointed out that simultaneously, Proviso (9) was inserted in Rule 31 of the Rules of 1973, prescribing that persons





appointed through screening shall be placed below the candidates regularly selected by the RPSC in the seniority list. The respondents-writ petitioners submitted that this entire process—amendment, screening, and regularisation—was carried out strictly in accordance with the statutory framework, and hence, the benefits flowing from such regularisation could not subsequently be withdrawn or curtailed.

5.4. Learned counsel submitted that the respondents-writ petitioners had already retired from service, and their pensionary and ACP entitlements had been computed on the basis of their regularisation from the date of initial appointment. Once the Department itself had granted such benefits and treated their service as continuous and regular, it was impermissible for the State to reopen settled entitlements or effect recoveries.

5.5. It was further urged that there existed no distinction between Ayurved Chikitsaks appointed between 1990–1993 and those appointed prior to 1990, or between Ayurved, Unani, and Homoeopathy branches, all being governed by the same Rules of 1973. The denial of benefits to one class of similarly situated employees, while continuing them for others, amounted to hostile discrimination, squarely offending Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India.

5.6. Learned counsel also contended that once the Department had regularised the respondents retrospectively from the date of initial appointment, such regularisation had the effect of validating their entire past service, and therefore, for all consequential





purposes—including ACP and pensionary benefits—their service had to be reckoned from that date. The impugned order dated 31.07.2020, denying them such benefits, was thus contrary to the statutory amendments, arbitrary in nature, and unsustainable in law.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties. Perused the material available on record as well as the impugned judgment passed by the learned Single Judge, along with the relevant statutory provisions and precedents cited at the Bar.

7. This Court observes that the appellants themselves, by their conscious legislative act, have amended Rule 6 and Rule 31 of the Rules of 1973, which resulted in the regularisation of the respondents from the date of their initial appointment made on urgent/temporary basis under Rule 27 of the said Rules. Once such amendments were incorporated into the statutory scheme, the rights and benefits flowing therefrom became vested in the employees governed by those provisions.

8. This Court further observes that once the statutory amendments were made, the respondents were entitled to receive all consequential service and pensionary benefits in accordance with law. The doctors of the parallel departments, namely Homoeopathy and Unani, have already been extended identical benefits pursuant to similar provisions, and therefore, any departure in the case of Ayurved Chikitsaks would amount to discrimination. The expression "Regular Service", relied upon by the appellants to restrict benefits, cannot be construed in a





manner that nullifies the effect of statutory regularisation. Once regularisation has been granted by operation of statute, the element of discretion or administrative interpretation ceases to exist. Hence, denying to the respondents what has already been conferred upon similarly situated employees in the Homoeopathy and Unani departments would be unjust and impermissible.

9. This Court also observes that the learned Single Judge, while passing the impugned order, has rightly concluded that any deviation from equal treatment amongst similarly situated employees would constitute a violation of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India. The discriminatory denial of pensionary and ACP benefits to Ayurved Chikitsaks, while allowing the same to other branches of the same service, would be contrary to the fundamental principles of equality. This observation becomes even more pertinent considering that several respondents have already retired from service, and unsettling their accrued benefits at such a stage would result in serious hardship and inequity.

10. This Court further observes that once the Rules of 1973 clearly provide that regularisation and consequential benefits shall take effect from the date of first/initial appointment, any subsequent administrative attempt to curtail such benefit midway is not only contrary to the statutory mandate but also opposed to the cause of justice. The provisions of Rules 6 and 31, as amended, unequivocally establish that the respondents' services stood regularised retrospectively from their initial appointment. Therefore, any attempt to deny ACP or pensionary benefits on the





plea of "non-regular service" stands neutralised by the statutory scheme itself.

11. This Court further observes that the legislative intent, as reflected in the amendments to Rules 6 and 31 of the Rules of 1973 and in the operation of Rule 27, was to remove the disparity between temporary/urgent appointees and regular selectees by granting the benefit of regularisation from the initial date of appointment. The conferment of identical benefits upon Unani and Homoeopathy doctors reinforces that the State itself has accepted the principle of retrospective regularisation as the basis for all consequential benefits. Accordingly, the reasoning adopted by the learned Single Judge, in aligning the Ayurved Chikitsaks with their counterparts in the other two departments, is found to be just, equitable, and in consonance with law.

12. This Court also observes that the entire argument advanced on behalf of the appellants regarding the definition of "regular service" under Rule 5(11) of the Rules of 2008 and its effect on the grant of ACP benefits loses significance in the present context. The said rule cannot override the statutory regularisation conferred upon the respondents under the Rules of 1973, which is a specific enactment governing their service conditions. Hence, the issue stands fully addressed by the statutory amendments, and no further adjudication on this aspect is required.

13. In light of the foregoing discussion, this Court finds no infirmity, perversity, or legal error in the impugned judgment passed by the learned Single Judge warranting interference under





intra-court appellate jurisdiction. The impugned judgment is well-reasoned, consistent with the statutory scheme, and grounded on sound principles of equality and fairness.

14. Consequently, the present appeals are **dismissed**. All pending applications stand disposed of.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J

(DR.PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI),J

SKant/-

