



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 1621/2025

Agriculture University, Jodhpur, Rajasthan, Through Its Registrar
----Appellant

Versus

1. Dr. Arun Kumar S/o Late Dr. Hari Gobind Singh, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Maru House, Vc House, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agriculture University, Sriganganagar Road, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Higher Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. Secretary, Department Of Agriculture, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. Secretary To The Governor Cum Chancellor, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agriculture University, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
5. Secretary To The Governor Cum Chancellor, Agriculture University, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
6. Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agriculture University, Bikaner, Rajasthan Through Its Registrar.

----Respondents

Connected With

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 1564/2025

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Department Of Higher Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Secretary, Department Of Agriculture, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. Secretary To The Governor Cum Chancellor, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agriculture University, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
4. Secretary To The Governor Cum Chancellor, Agriculture University, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Appellants

Versus

1. Dr. Arun Kumar S/o Late Dr. Hari Gobind Singh, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Maru House, Vc House, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agriculture University, Sriganganagar Road, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
2. Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agriculture University, Bikaner, Rajasthan, Through Its Registrar.
3. Agriculture University, Jodhpur, Rajasthan, Though Its Registrar.

----Respondents

D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No. 1620/2025

Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agriculture University, Bikaner, Rajasthan, Through Its Registrar.

----Appellant





Versus

1. Dr. Arun Kumar S/o Late Dr. Hari Govind Singh, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Maru House, Vc House, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agriculture University, Sriganganagar Road, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Higher Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. Secretary, Department Of Agriculture, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. Secretary To The Governor-Cum-Chancellor, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agriculture University, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
5. Secretary To The Governor-Cum-Chancellor, Agriculture University, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
6. Agriculture University, Jodhpur, Rajasthan, through Its Registrar.

-----Respondents

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Mahaveer Bishnoi, AAG
Mr. Anirudh Singh Shekhawat
Mr. B.L. Bhati, AAG with
Mr. Deepak Chandak
Mr. Harish Kumar Purohit
Mr. Sunil Purohit

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Muktesh Maheshwari with
Mr. Manvendra Singh
Ms. Soumya Choudhary

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE MR. SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU****Order****01/12/2025**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the State submits that by an interim order dated 16.10.2025, the learned Single Judge has stayed the effect and operation of the order dated 26.08.2025 passed by the Chancellor of the Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agriculture University, Bikaner, terminating the services of the respondent No.1 herein-Dr. Arun Kumar as Vice Chancellor in the University and has directed the respondents therein to reinstate the writ petitioner with further direction restraining him from



discharging any function of Vice Chancellor except drawing the emoluments.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that by interim order dated 16.10.2025, final relief has been granted, resulting in a situation where there would be no Vice Chancellor functioning in the University.

3. *Prima facie*, this Court finds that if the learned Single Judge came to a conclusion that the action of the appellant was bad in law, final order was required to be passed and final relief cannot be granted by an interim order.

4. Accordingly, this Court stays the directions issued by the learned Single Judge in paragraph No.15 of his order dated 16.10.2025. However, the State would be free to proceed in terms of the observations made by the learned Single Judge.

5. At this stage, learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner/respondent No.1 herein submits that the learned Single Judge may be requested to decide the main case.

6. This Court finds that the prayer is reasonable and, therefore, we dispose of this appeal, directing that until the disposal of the writ petition, the order passed hereinabove shall continue. The learned Single Judge is requested to decide the main petition expeditiously.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),J (SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA),ACJ

11-13 Arjun/Divya/-

