



RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT
HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1769/2004

J D A Jaipur

----Petitioner

Versus

The J D A Appellate Tribunal & Ors.

----Respondents

Connected With

1. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4525/2003
2. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1667/2004
3. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1668/2004
4. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1669/2004
5. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1678/2004
6. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1749/2004
7. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2720/2004
8. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8122/2004
9. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11005/2008
10. S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14367/2013

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Saket Pareek
Ms. Diya Purohit
Mr. Deepak Sharma

For Respondent(s) : Mr. J.R. Choudhary with
Mr. M.I. Abbasi
Mr. Mahesh Gupta with
Mr. S.S. Sharma
Mr. Divanshu Gupta with
Ms. Simran Sharma
Ms. Tanuja Thakar for
Ms. Nidhi Khandelwal
Mr. Sandeep Mathur

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI
Order

27/01/2026

In S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1769/2004

Vide order dated 13.05.2008 passed by the Co-ordinate bench, it was observed that the controversy revolves around the title of the land being Khasra No.469/1 with Jhotwara, Jaipur, on



which housing schemes by two societies viz. Mutual Housing Co-operative Society and Moti Bhawan Nirman Sahakari Samiti, have been carved out. It was also observed therein that vide order dated 05.10.2002, Registrar Co-operative has passed an order in favour of Moti Bhawan Nirman Sahakari Samiti, which has been challenged before the Co-operative Tribunal and thus, the matter was kept pending, awaiting the decision in the said appeal.

It has been informed that the said appeal has been decided vide order dated 08.05.2013, vide which the appeal has been allowed and the order passed by the Registrar Co-operative dated 05.10.2002 has been set aside. It is also not disputed that against the order dated 08.05.2013, writ petition being **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.14367/2013** has been filed, which is pending consideration and a direction to maintain status quo was passed on 16.09.2013, which is in existence till date.

As none has appeared to represent JDA in the said writ petition as also in other writ petitions, which are tagged alongwith but for **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.4525/2003 and 14367/2013**, time of two weeks is granted to JDA to appoint counsel to represent it in all the matters.

Considering that the writ petitions are pending since 2003, it would be in the interest of justice if the entire exercise of appointing the counsel and preparing a written submission in the matter is made at the earliest.

With the consent of all the parties, the matter is listed on 18.02.2026.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J

JAYANT KUMAR /7-17

