



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN  
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13309/2025

Nathdwara Temple Board

----Petitioner

Versus

The Union Of India

----Respondent

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Vineet Dave  
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Ankur Mathur  
Mr. N.S. Rajpurohit, AAG  
Mr. Sher Singh Rathore  
Mr. Rajesh Panwar, AAG

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT**

**Order**

**16/03/2026**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that land ad-measuring 1.4745 hectares belonging to petitioner - Nathdwara Temple Board was proposed to be auctioned in the earlier acquisition notification dated 20.03.2018 to which detailed objections were submitted by the petitioner - Board.

2. The Land Acquisition Officer-cum-District Collector, Rajsamand through its order dated 29.01.2019 while allowing objections submitted by the petitioner - Board, has recommended to exclude the land of the petitioner - Board from acquisition.

3. Based upon such recommendation, final Notification dated 18.03.2019 was issued wherein the land of the petitioner - Board was not included. Subsequently, respondents - authority proceeded to interfere in the land of the petitioner - board and on an inquiry being made, it came to the notice of the petitioner - Board that later on, a notification under Section 3A of the National



Highways Act, 1956 ("Act of 1956") and was issued to again include the land of the petitioner – Board.

4. To which, detailed objections were again submitted. However, the respondent – authorities without considering the objections of the petitioner – Board and also without granting opportunity of hearing as required under Section 3C of the Act of 1956, issued final notification and included the land of the petitioner for acquisition.

5. Matter requires consideration.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply copy of writ petition in the office of AAG to Mr. Rajesh Panwar, who represents respondent No. 2 and Mr. N.S. Rajpurohit, who represents respondent No. 3.

7. Registry is directed to reflect the name of Mr. Rajesh Panwar, AAG and Mr. N.S. Rajpurohit, AAG as counsel for respondents in the cause list.

8. Issue notice to Respondent No. 1, returnable within a period of six weeks.

9. In the meantime, parties are directed to maintain status quo with regard to the land in question.

**(SANJEET PUROHIT),J**

13-JatinS/-

