


HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous II Bail Application No. 6939/2026

Sahil S/o Sapat, Aged About 21 Years, R/o Nagla Shyam, Police Station Nagar, District Deeg. (At Present Confined In District Jail Deeg).

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous II Bail Application No. 6940/2026

Wajib S/o Kursheed, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Neemki, Police Station Nagar, District Deeg. (At Present Confined In District Jail Deeg).

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Rajeev Kumar

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Manvendra Singh Shekhawat, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA (V.J.)

Order

15/06/2026

1. These second bail applications have been filed by the petitioners Sahil S/o Sapat and Wajib S/o Kursheed, who were arrested by the police in connection with the FIR bearing No.30/2026 dated 30.01.2026 registered at Police Station Nagar, District Deeg for the offences punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 313, 61(2)(a) of BNS and Section 66D of I.T. (Amendment) Act 2008.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the first bail applications filed by the petitioners was dismissed as withdrawn by this Court with liberty to file afresh after filing of the charge-sheet and thereafter, after filing of the charge-sheet, the petitioners have preferred these second bail applications under Section 483 of B.N.S.S.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there are petty allegations against them and complaints include petty amounts. Learned counsel further submits that after investigation, charge-sheet has been filed against the petitioners on 28.02.2026, no recovery is required to be made and the trial will take considerable time. In view thereof, learned counsel prayed that the petitioners be enlarged on bail.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the bail applications.

5. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor.

6. This Court considering the fact that this Court directed the Investigating Officer twice to submit the specific report regarding QR codes and other UPI IDs. Despite the repeated directions, no specific report has been submitted by the Investigating Officer. It appears that the Investigating Officer is hardly aware about the way of conducting an investigation. Charge-sheet has already been filed and the petitioners are behind the bars since long and trial will take considerably long time. Without commenting upon merits of the case, this Court is inclined to exercise its power under Section 483 B.N.S.S. and deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioners on bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail applications filed under Section 483 BNSS are allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioners **Sahil S/o Sapat, Wajib S/o Kursheed** shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

1. Each of the accused-petitioners shall furnish a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000 with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs 50,000 each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

2. Within 15 days from the date of this order, the accused-petitioners shall file an affidavit before the trial Court/ I.O. disclosing the following details: -

(i) Moveable and immovable assets which includes land, buildings, vehicles, deposits, investments all bank accounts and digital wallets, UPI IDs cryptocurrency holdings etc. allegedly used in commission of the offence or which the accused-petitioners have in their name.

(ii) The accused-petitioners shall submit complete transaction statements of all their active bank accounts, UPI IDs and digital payment instruments etc. after every 30 days till completion of the trial to the IO.

3. The accused shall not obtain/use any new SIM card, mobile phone or open new bank account etc. without the prior intimation to the Investigating Officer.

4. The accused-petitioners shall surrender his passport, if they have, before the trial Court immediately after being released on bail. The accused-petitioners shall not leave India without the prior permission of the trial Court.

5. The accused-petitioners shall not use any Virtual Private Network (VPN), TOR Browser, Proxy Server or any other form of

anonymous network or identity masking technology without permission of IO.

6. The accused-petitioners shall submit to the Investigation Officer a comprehensive list of all electronic devices in their possession, including mobile phones, laptops, tablets, external hard disks and pen drive along with their IMEI numbers and serial numbers within 15 days of release under this bail order.

7. The accused-petitioners shall provide to the Investigating Officer details of all social media accounts, e-mail accounts, domain registration, websites and online platforms operated or used by the accused-petitioners.

8. The accused-petitioners shall not create any social media account in the name of any person, domain name or website without the prior intimation to the Investigation Officer.

9. The accused-petitioners shall mark their presence before the concerned police station once in 15 days as fixed by the Investigating Officer and a register shall be maintained for the same by Investigating Officer.

10. In case of any change of residential address, the accused-petitioners shall immediately inform the Investigating Officer as well as the trial Court.

11. The accused-petitioners shall appear before the Investigating Officer whenever called upon and shall fully co-operate with the investigation.

12. The accused-petitioners shall not tamper with evidence, influence witnesses or attempt to obstruct the investigation in any manner whatsoever.

In case of breach of any of the above conditions, failure to provide above information or such other information as desired by IO, shall be treated as a violation of the bail conditions, & further if the accused-petitioners are found to be involved in similar such cases within three years from the date of passing of this order, the public prosecutor shall move an application for cancellation of bail.

(RAVI CHIRANIA (V.J.)),J

21-22/RINCHU