



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16222/2026
CNR: RJHC020674632026 | URN: CW / 34287U / 2026

Vikramaditya Singh Raghav, Son Of Shri Omendra Singh Raghav,
Aged About 29 Years, Resident Of E-43, Dronpuri, 200 Feet
Bypass, Opposite Chitrakoot, Vaishali Nagar, Jaipur (Rajasthan),
Pin 302021

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Through Member Secretary, Rajasthan State Legal Services Authority (Ralsa), Jaipur, Office Of Member Secretary, Vidhik Sewa Sadan, Vidhik Sewa Marg, C-Scheme, Jaipur, Pin - 302005
2. Chairman, District Legal Services Authority, Jaipur Metropolitan-2Nd, Office Of Chairman (Dlsa, Jaipur Metro 2Nd), 2Nd Floor, New Adr Building, Shiv Marg, Bani Park Circle, Jaipur, Pin - 302016
3. Secretary, District Legal Services Authority, Jaipur Metropolitan-2Nd, Office Of Secretary (Dlsa, Jaipur Metro 2Nd), 2Nd Floor, New Adr Building, Shiv Marg, Bani Park Circle, Jaipur, Pin - 302016
4. Through Member Secretary, National Legal Services Authority (Nalsa), Office Of Member Secretary, National Legal Services Authority (Nalsa), B - Block, Ground Floor, Administrative Buildings Complex, Supreme Court Of India, New Delhi, Pin - 110001
5. Through Registrar, Rajasthan High Court, Office Of High Court Registrar (Judicial), Ground Floor, Rajasthan High Court Jaipur Complex, Jaipur, Bhagwan Das Road, C - Scheme, Jaipur, Pin - 302001

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Satyendra Singh Raghav with Mr. Anirudh Singh Raghav
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Akshit Gupta for Mr. Vigyan Shah



HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI
Order

21/08/2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed being aggrieved by the order dated 09.01.2026 (Annexure-P/5) issued by respondent No.1 - Member Secretary, Rajasthan State Legal Services Authority (RALSA), Jaipur, vide which the petitioner's tenure as Assistant Legal Aid Defence Counsel, District Legal Services Authority (DLSA), Jaipur Metropolitan - II, has not been extended and so also the order dated 12.01.2026 (Annexure-P/7) passed by respondent No.2 - Chairman, District Legal Services Authority, Jaipur Metropolitan-II, whereby the services of the petitioner has been terminated on the ground of unsatisfactory services.

2. Mr. Satyendra Singh Raghav, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the impugned order dated 09.01.2026 and so also the order dated 12.01.2026 are grossly arbitrary for various reasons including the fact that no inquiry whatsoever has been conducted by the respondents and the impugned termination order is stigmatic in nature.

3. Learned counsel submits that holding the services of the petitioner as "unsatisfactory" casts a stigma upon the professional conduct of the petitioner and thus no such order could have been passed without holding an inquiry.

4. Learned counsel further submits that a show cause notice dated 06.01.2026 was issued to the petitioner, granting him five days' time to put forth his stand and the petitioner had filed a reply to the said show cause notice on 09.01.2026 itself, however, without granting any opportunity of hearing in the form of





personal appearance, the impugned order of not extending the services of the petitioner was passed just within three days, i.e. on 09.01.2026 and thereafter impugned order dated 12.01.2026 was also passed.

5. Learned counsel also submits that the appointment order of the petitioner dated 09.01.2023 was issued by respondent No.1, whereas, the impugned termination order dated 12.01.2026 has been issued by respondent No.2, which could not have been done as the respondent No.2 is not the appointing authority of the petitioner.

6. Taking note of the said submissions, issue notice to respondents, returnable within four weeks, both by ordinary and registered post.

7. Mr. Akshit Gupta, Advocate, appearing on behalf of Mr. Vigyan Shah, learned counsel, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.5.

8. Let notice be filed for respondents No.1 to 4.

9. List the matter thereafter.

10. In the meanwhile and till further orders, the effect and operation of the impugned orders dated 09.01.2026 (Annexure-P/5) and 12.01.2026 (Annexure-P/7) shall remain stayed.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J

12/DIPESH CHAYAL