



RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

सत्यमेव जयते

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 23247/2026
CNR: RJHC010974812026 | URN: CW / 40119U / 2026

Manju W/o Shri Sumit Kumar, Aged About 47 Years, Resident Of
Ward No. 12, Sector 12, Near Khalsa School, Hanumangarh.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Home Department, Jaipur.
2. The Director General Of Police, Rajasthan Police Headquarter, Jaipur.
3. The Superintendent Of Police, Hanumangarh.
4. The District Collector Cum District Election Officer, Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.
5. The Sub Division Officer Cum Election Officer/returning Officer, Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ravi Bhansali, Sr. Adv. Assisted by Mr. Kunal Upadhyay Mr. Vipul Dharnia Ms. Rohin Bhansali
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. B.L. Bhati, AAG assisted by Mr. Sandeep Soni Mr. Ravindra Jala associate to Mr. S.S. Ladhrecha, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

20/09/2026

1. Issue notices.
2. Mr. B.L. Bhati, learned AAG, accepts notices on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.



3. Mr. Ravindra Jala, learned Associate to Mr. S.S. Ladrecha, learned AAG, accepts notices on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5. Service is, therefore, complete.

4. Mr. B.L. Bhati, learned AAG, and Mr. Ravindra Jala, learned Associate to Mr. S.S. Ladrecha, learned AAG, pray for and are granted one week's time to complete their instructions and file reply to the instant writ petition.

5. Though, upon hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds no sufficient basis, at this stage, for the petitioner's apprehension that she or the other elected members of the Municipal Council would be deprived from casting their votes in the ensuing election to the post of Chairman of the Municipal Council, Hanumangarh.

6. However, having regard to the apprehension expressed by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner may be subjected to harassment or humiliation by the respondent authorities on account of alleged political rivalry, including by way of deprivation of her right to cast her vote in the ensuing election for the post of Chairman, this Court deems it just and proper to direct that the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the petitioner except in accordance with due process of law.

7. It is also made clear that present order shall not preclude the petitioner from seeking an early hearing of the matter, in case, occasion so arises.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

1/Dinesh Suthar